

Thank you,

Job

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> What time do you arrive home from work? Perhaps we
> could talk then?

>

> Re your question on the mileage, I have approached
> our Financial Officer

> with a request that you receive full reimbursement
> on the grounds that

> your actual total travel costs are less than the
> estimated total travel

> costs if you had flown to DC, stayed in our more
> expensive hotels, and

> received the higher per diem for 3 days (instead of
> 1). I have not yet

> received a response from her and she has been out of
> the office much of

> this week, so I don't know what she decided to do.

> --- Peggy

>

>

>

>

> "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

> 06/08/2006 01:10 PM

>

> To

> psims@eac.gov, wang@tcf.org

> cc

>

> Subject

> Re: Transcript & Teleconference

>

>

>

>

>

>

> Peg:

>

> I just arrived home for lunch. I can no longer take
> time during the work day for telephone conferences.

> As

> I told you I will need to finish this project after
> daily working hours. I am still getting things done

> from being out for ten days. I will review Tova's recommendations and
> expand on mine this weekend.

>

> Also, I sent you an e-mail asking how you handled
> the

> mileage portion of my travel voucher?

>

> Job

>

>

>

> --- psims@eac.gov wrote:

005262

>
> > 4 PM EST is fine with me, if it works for Job.
> ---
> > Peggy
> >
> >
> >
> > wang@tcf.org
> > 06/08/2006 10:10 AM
> >
> > To
> > psims@eac.gov
> > cc
> > [REDACTED]
> > Subject
> > Re: Transcript & Teleconference
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Can we make it 4 est? I have another meeting at
> 3.
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: <psims@eac.gov>
> > To: <wang@tcf.org>
> > Cc: <[REDACTED]>
> > Sent: Thursday, June 08, 2006 9:55 AM
> > Subject: Re: Transcript & Teleconference
> >
> >
> > >
> > > I'll see how it comes in. I hope we receive an
> > electronic copy. If we
> > > only receive a hard copy, we can pdf it and
> email
> > it to the two of you.
> > > How about Monday afternoon at 3 PM EST for a
> brief
> > teleconference? I
> > > really can't do it before them because of other
> > commitments. --- Peggy
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > wang@tcf.org
> > >
> > > 06/08/2006 09:42 To
> > > AM
> > psims@eac.gov
> > > cc
> > >
> > > [REDACTED]
> > > Subject
> > >
> > > Re: Re:

005263



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>
06/09/2006 04:19 PM

-----Original Message-----

Send it now. Let me know how much it is, so that I can include it in the total for reimbursement. ---
Peggy

06/09/2006 01:56 PM

005265

Hi again,

I just got the bill from our car service from the trip last month. Can I still send it to you? Do I need a cover note? Thanks. Tova

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>
06/09/2006 12:49 PM

To psims@eac.gov, "Job Serebrov"
cc
Subject: more gao

Sorry, its 500 pages -- it also includes data on absentee fraud and voter intimidation

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV
06/12/2006 05:09 PM

To "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>@GSAEXTERNAL
cc
Subject RE: Will Call Later

005266

How about 9:30 AM EST, Wednesday morning (6/14/06)?

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>
06/12/2006 04:46 PM

To psims@eac.gov
cc
Subject RE: Will Call Later


Either between 9 and 10 or between 12 and 1:30 would be ideal, but I should be around most of the afternoon. Thanks Peg. Tova

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]
Sent: Monday, June 12, 2006 2:39 PM
To: wang@tcf.org
Subject: Will Call Later

I'll try to call you Wednesday. Is there a time that is best for you? Today has been too hectic. Tomorrow is primary election day in VA. Still no transcript. I have taken a look at the recommendations that you sent me, but have not yet heard from Job. --- Peg

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----



Devon E. Romig/EAC/GOV
06/07/2006 10:01 AM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC
cc jwilson@eac.gov
Subject Re: Transcript of 5-18-06 Working Group Meeting

I will call the transcript company and ask them about it.

Devon Romig
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave. NW, Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005
202.566.2377 phone
202.566.3128 fax
www.eac.gov
Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV
06/07/2006 09:47 AM

To dromig@eac.gov, jwilson@eac.gov
cc

Subject Transcript of 5-18-06 Working Group Meeting

005267

Have we had any word about the transcript for the 5-18-06 Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Working Group meeting? Our consultants each need a copy so that they can draft the final report? If we have it in electronic form, so much the better. --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----

Diana Scott/EAC/GOV

06/09/2006 01:02 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Travel Reimbursement for Job Sebrevov

Peggy,

Regarding his travel for the EAC's May 18 meeting, I would concur with you that we should reimburse Mr. Serebrov in the amount of \$1200.03 for travel related expenses (hotel/mileage/per diem). Since there is a \$577.95 dollar difference in cost (travel via air vs travel via POV), I believe the \$1200.03 is more economically advantageous to the Agency. Attached is your drafted memo.



JobSerebrov\$1200.03reimbursement.pdf

Diana M. Scott
Administrative Officer
U.S. Election Assistance Commission
(202) 566-3100 (office)
(202) 566-3127 (fax)
dscott@eac.gov

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/09/2006 04:45 PM

To "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>@GSAEXTERNAL

cc

Subject RE: travel 

Got It! You should receive a total travel reimbursement of \$1,533.02 for that trip. (I could not include the internet service fee the hotel charged, but everything else counted.) --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>



"Tova Wang"

005268



<wang@tcf.org>
06/09/2006 04:19 PM

To psims@eac.gov
cc
Subject RE: travel

I'll fax it to you if that works. The total is \$124.44. Thank you. Have a nice weekend. Tova
-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]
Sent: Friday, June 09, 2006 3:03 PM
To: wang@tcf.org
Subject: Re: travel

Send it now. Let me know how much it is, so that I can include it in the total for reimbursement. ---
Peggy

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

06/09/2006 01:56 PM

To psims@eac.gov
cc
Subject travel

Hi again,

I just got the bill from our car service from the trip last month. Can I still send it to you? Do I need a cover note? Thanks. Tova

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

005269

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

06/12/2006 05:11 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: Will Call Later

Perfect. Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

Sent: Monday, June 12, 2006 4:09 PM

To: wang@tcf.org

Subject: RE: Will Call Later

How about 9:30 AM EST, Wednesday morning (6/14/06)?

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

06/12/2006 04:46 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: Will Call Later

Either between 9 and 10 or between 12 and 1:30 would be ideal, but I should be around most of the afternoon. Thanks Peg. Tova

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

Sent: Monday, June 12, 2006 2:39 PM

To: wang@tcf.org

Subject: Will Call Later

I'll try to call you Wednesday. Is there a time that is best for you? Today has been too hectic. Tomorrow is primary election day in VA. Still no transcript. I have taken a look at the recommendations that you sent me, but have not yet heard from Job. --- Peg

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

06/05/2006 04:30 PM

To psims@eac.gov, [REDACTED]

cc

Subject

005270



recommendations

Here are my recommendations with the last one now included. Please let me know about the transcript and when you all want to talk about getting the final report done. Thanks. Tova

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

Click here to receive our weekly e-mail updates.



future suggestions.doc

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----



"Job Serebroy"

06/13/2006 09:10 AM

To psims@eac.gov, wang@tcf.org

cc

Subject Transcripts, Etc.

Peggy:

Any sign of the transcript? Will the other members of the working group get a copy? I have had questions from several about it.

If you want to talk I can do so this Friday at 6 pm your time.

Job

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:18 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/08/2006 11:07 AM

To wang@tcf.org@GSAEXTERNAL

cc

Subject Re: Transcript & Teleconference

4 PM EST is fine with me, if it works for Job. --- Peggy

wang@tcf.org

005271

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/27/2006 12:12 PM

To Jeannie Layson/EAC/GOV

cc twilkey@eac.gov, Karen Lynn-Dyson/EAC/GOV@EAC

Subject U.S. News & World Report

Jeannie

We suspect that someone from the Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Project Working Group has been talking to reporters, tipping them off about what we are finding in our preliminary study, and referring them to our consultants (although the information could have come from anyone on the EAC boards, too). Apparently, the U.S. News & World Report reporter who contacted me also contacted both consultants working on the project.

Based on my recommendation, Tova Wang and, possibly, Job Serebrov, who are on EAC personal services contracts for our voting fraud and voter intimidation research, will seek further clarification from you about what they can and cannot say to reporters and in public fora about vote fraud and voter intimidation and about EAC's research. I have previously advised Tova and Job not to discuss the work they are doing for us as this is EAC research, the Commissioners have not yet received and accepted the final report, and the Commission has not approved their speaking about the EAC research.

Tova plans to call you tomorrow (Tuesday, June 27) about the issue. In addition to the reporter's inquiry, she has been invited to speak on the subject at the summer conference of the National Association of State Legislatures. She has plenty of knowledge of the subject in her own right (apart from our study), but is having trouble differentiating between her own work and the work she is doing for us. Please, just let me know what you advise her to do.

--- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----



"Tova Wang"

<wang@tcf.org>

06/27/2006 12:26 PM

To psims@eac.gov, "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

cc

Subject outline of final report

Does this work for you?

Tova Andrea Wang

Democracy Fellow

The Century Foundation

41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021

phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

005272



Table of Contents.doc

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/30/2006 05:27 PM

To "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>@GSAEXTERNAL

cc [REDACTED]

Subject Re: invoice

Attached is an updated schedule showing 2 more invoice periods. I'll send separate spreadsheets to you and Job showing what funds and hours have been used and what are available. --- Peggy



FY06 Contracts Invoice Schedule.xls

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

06/27/2006 12:48 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject invoice

Hi Peg,

What is the current invoice schedule? Thanks.

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"

06/30/2006 10:01 PM

To wang@tcf.org, psims@eac.gov

cc "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

Subject Re: Various

005273

I would make time to discuss this. I feel that any edit would be wrong while a comment at the end of the interview by the Commission would not be. But in this case, two of us remember it one way and one the other way.

--- wang@tcf.org wrote:

> That would be great on the contract.
>
> If the interview is "edited" as you put it, I will
> be very, very
> uncomfortable, as I believe Job would be as well. I
> know you don't want to
> spend anymore time on this, but I consider it a
> rather important issue, and
> I think Job does too. I would be happy to talk to
> you and Tom and any of
> the commissioners about this further if that would
> be helpful. I am
> available by cell over the next four days and in the
> office all next week.
>
> Thanks for the updated invoice stuff. Happy 4th.
>
> Tova
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: <psims@eac.gov>
> To: "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]
> Cc: "Tova Andrea Wang" <wang@tcf.org>
> Sent: Friday, June 30, 2006 6:41 PM
> Subject: Re: Various
>
>
> > Actually, the Donsanto interview was the only one
> I did attend, but I
> > agree the issue is taking up too much of your
> time. I just wanted you to
> > be forewarned that the paragraph has already raised
> red flags in DC of and
> > is likely to result in an edit. Enough said about
> that.
> >
> > I am concerned about the number of hours left for
> this project. If you and
> > Tova both agree, I'll see if our Contracting
> Officer will approve a
> > contract mod to provide for some additional hours
> and money to incorporate
> > comments received on the report and other efforts
> that fall within the
> > tasks specified in the current contract. We won't
> get 60 thou, but there
> > might be a little year end money we can use to
> finish this off properly.
> > Peg
> >
> > -----

005274

> > Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
> >
> >
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]
> > Sent: 06/30/2006 05:58 PM
> > To: psims@eac.gov; wang@tcf.org
> > Subject: Various
> >
> > Peg:
> >
> > I had to take time off this afternoon to handle
> some
> > issues. Did you get an answer as to my travel
> > reimbursement?
> >
> > I spoke to Tova about the Donsanto issue. We both
> > agree about what we heard during the interview. We
> > also agree that this is taking up too much time
> (of
> > which we have so little left) and is a minor part
> of
> > one interview which makes up one of thirty
> interviews.
> > I feel the same as Tova, the Commission was not in
> on
> > the interview and thus do not know what was said
> and
> > we are not giving those interviewed the
> opportunity,
> > especially given how long ago the interviews were,
> to
> > object. Frankly, if the Commission wants to give
> us
> > another sixty hours each we can call all of our
> > interviewees, give them the review and ask for
> > comments. In any case, we can't include comments
> from
> > other interviews with, or lectures by person
> > interviewed, outside of our interview with that
> > person. We simply can't afford to single out one
> > statement in one interview that there is a
> > disagreement on. Finally, I don't read the
> paragraph
> > as you do---I remember what was said---the
> paragraph
> > clearly does not imply an abandonment of other DOJ
> > electoral investigations.
> >
> > Job
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----

005275

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/27/2006 04:13 PM

To "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>@GSAEXTERNAL

cc "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

Subject Re: outline of final report [REDACTED]

I'll need to get back to you on this and the definition tomorrow (too many things going on today). In the meantime, I have attached the written status report that was presented to the EAC Standards Board and Board of Advisors, because I can't remember if I ever provided the final version to the two of you. The status report is primarily made up of your preliminary reports, with some intro information provided and a brief summary of recommendations discussed at the Working Group meeting. This may or may not help the two of you in preparing the final. You can use any of it, or none of it. I am sure that your product will be much better than this quickly pulled together thing. --- Peggy



EAC Boards VF-VI Status Report.doc

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

06/27/2006 12:26 PM

To psims@eac.gov, "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

cc

Subject outline of final report

Does this work for you?

Tova Andrea Wang

Democracy Fellow

The Century Foundation

41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021

phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.



Table of Contents.doc

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----



"Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

06/29/2006 07:58 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Travel Pay

005276

Peg:

So far no travel pay. Tova got hers a couple of days ago. Please call and check. I need it.

Thanks,

Job

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

06/29/2006 01:24 PM

To psims@eac.gov [REDACTED]

cc twilkey@eac.gov

Subject RE: donsanto interview

Peg, If you review the numerous speeches and writings of Donsanto, including at the BAI training sessions, you will see that in the past he has frequently said that as a matter of law and policy the Department generally only pursued organized patterns. I can point you to particular citations if you like. He clearly said when we interviewed him that there had been a shift in resources and energy. This is in both of our notes. I don't think this should be an issue of departmental politics.

Tova

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 12:00 PM

To: wang@tcf.org; [REDACTED]

Cc: twilkey@eac.gov

Subject: Re: donsanto interview

Tova and Job:

All I can do is advise you that I don't think this paragraph will pass by the Commission, as written, because readers can misinterpret what is being reported and use something published by EAC against DOJ. I suspect that both of you are aware of legal action being taken by an advocacy group against DOJ alleging that the agency is acting in a manner that fails to protect, and even discourages, the voter participation of minorities and disadvantaged individuals. Though I do not intend to address the merits of that action, which focuses on the efforts of more than one DOJ office, I am concerned that some readers would use the sentence that begins with "This change in direction, focus, and level of aggression ..." as evidence that DOJ's Election Crimes Branch has completely changed course to focus on aggressively pursuing individuals who vote when ineligible, many of whom are minorities.

It is true that, for years, the Election Crimes Branch did not pursue individual violators. (I certainly observed this from the time I became involved in researching election administration matters in 1986.) Much of the reason for this is that the agency just did not have the resources to pursue everything; so, as the agency budget permitted, DOJ pursued cases that provided the most bang

005277

for the buck --- cases involving multiple individuals that were not already being pursued by State or local public attorneys. As you know, DOJ recently expanded its efforts and added the prosecution of individuals for double voting or voting when ineligible (felony convictions or no U.S. citizenship). Although I did not know of this decision prior to the interview, the action is not a complete surprise, given the increasing pressure on the agency to pursue such cases that began with a real squeaker of a 1996 race in California's 46th CD (Orange County). In the interview with you, Donsanto also stated that the department evaluates each case before pursuing it, and does not pursue every individual referred for voting violations. (You may remember he noted his reluctance to pursue noncitizen voting, which can result in deportation, when it could separate the individual from his family.)

In my opinion, the addition of the prosecution of individuals, while an important new development, is not a complete change in direction or focus. The pursuit of individual violators does not supplant DOJ's continuing efforts to pursue organized schemes to corrupt the process. It is part of a recent expansion of the agency's efforts to combat election crime that includes: (1) more aggressive pursuit of criminal campaign finance violations (not covered by EAC's study); (2) exploration of new avenues to prosecute voter suppression schemes (e.g., the NH phone bank blocking case); (3) better training of U.S. attorneys and FBI agents in the recognition, investigation and prosecution of election offenses; (4) efforts to improve coordination with state and local law enforcement agencies; and (5) press conferences and public announcements before federal elections to publicize how the public can report election crimes. Donsanto provided information on much of these efforts either during the interview or by supplying case lists and training information on the day of the interview.

I hope you will reconsider revising the paragraph at issue.

Peggy Sims
Election Research Specialist
U.S. Election Assistance Commission

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

06/28/2006 04:47 PM

To psims@eac.gov

CC "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

Subject donsanto interview

Hi Peg,

Job and I have discussed this matter and agree on our response to it.

Presumably the paragraph you are concerned about is the following:

005278

Since 2002, the department has brought more cases against alien voters, felon voters, and double voters than ever before. Previously, cases were only brought when there was a pattern or scheme to corrupt the process. Charges were not brought against individuals – those cases went un-prosecuted. This change in direction, focus, and level of aggression was by the decision of the Attorney General. The reason for the change was for deterrence purposes.

Neither of us thinks this passage says that the Department has stopped pursuing patterns, as you suggested, and we maintain that this is what Mr. Donsanto said to us in the interview. If Mr. Donsanto wants to object, perhaps he can write a letter or something to that effect that could be part of the record.

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/29/2006 05:31 PM

To "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>@GSAEXTERNAL

cc [REDACTED]twilkey@eac.gov

Subject RE: donsanto interview

I don't think anyone disagrees that DOJ's earlier policy was to prosecute organized conspiracies, not individual violators. This policy was based both on existing law and resources available. Donsanto made that clear in numerous presentations before election officials, though I doubt he would have highlighted the resource issue in any of his written reports.

I did *not* hear Donsanto say that there was a shift in resources and energy *away from* prosecuting organized conspiracies in order to pursue prosecutions of individuals. I think we should avoid implying that this is the case. I understood his statement to address a shift in DOJ resources and energy to support increased efforts to prosecute election crimes, including the expansion of prosecutions to include individual incidents. I have not seen, nor do I think Donsanto has ever stated, that there has been a decrease in the effort to prosecute organized conspiracies to corrupt the process. Yet, adequate resources continue to be an issue, as Donsanto noted in his interview and at the Working Group meeting (when referring to having to decide which of two voter suppression cases to prosecute because he didn't have the resources to do both).

Your reference to policy based on law reminded me that changes in federal law, and an evolution in the understanding of how to use newer law, also would have affected DOJ's decision to add the prosecution of individuals for such violations as registering and voting when not a U.S. citizen or when a convicted felon. Earlier federal law did not directly address voter registration by felons, permitting *federal* prosecution in such instances only where it could be shown that the applicant *knowingly and willfully*

005279

provided false information as to his or her eligibility to vote. Earlier federal law permitted the prosecution of noncitizens for registering to vote based on false claims of the U.S. citizenship that each State required for registering to vote in federal elections, but did not require U.S. citizenship to vote in federal elections. These laws made *federal* prosecution of noncitizen and felon voter registration and voting much more challenging. With the implementation of the NVRA in 1995, we began to see federal election law that could more easily be used for federal prosecution of both voter registration and voting by noncitizens and convicted felons. And, late in 1996, immigration reform legislation was passed that clearly prohibits noncitizens from voting in federal elections (without requiring the "knowing and willful" component).

--- Peggy

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>
06/29/2006 01:24 PM

To psims@eac.gov, [REDACTED]
cc twilkey@eac.gov
Subject RE: donsanto interview

Peg, If you review the numerous speeches and writings of Donsanto, including at the BAI training sessions, you will see that in the past he has frequently said that as a matter of law and policy the Department generally only pursued organized patterns. I can point you to particular citations if you like. He clearly said when we interviewed him that there had been a shift in resources and energy. This is in both of our notes. I don't think this should be an issue of departmental politics.

Tova

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 12:00 PM
To: wang@tcf.org; [REDACTED]
Cc: twilkey@eac.gov
Subject: Re: donsanto interview

Tova and Job:

All I can do is advise you that I don't think this paragraph will pass by the Commission, as written, because readers can misinterpret what is being reported and use something published by EAC against DOJ. I suspect that both of you are aware of legal action being taken by an advocacy group against DOJ alleging that the agency is acting in a manner that fails to protect, and even discourages, the voter participation of minorities and disadvantaged individuals. Though I do not intend to address the merits of that action, which focuses on the efforts of more than one DOJ office, I am concerned that some readers would use the sentence that begins with "This change in direction, focus, and level of aggression ..." as evidence that DOJ's Election Crimes Branch has completely changed course to focus on aggressively pursuing individuals who vote when ineligible, many of whom are minorities.

It is true that, for years, the Election Crimes Branch did not pursue individual violators. (I certainly observed this from the time I became involved in researching election administration matters in 1986.) Much of the reason for this is that the agency just did not have the resources to pursue everything; so, as the agency budget permitted, DOJ pursued cases that provided the most bang

005280

for the buck --- cases involving multiple individuals that were not already being pursued by State or local public attorneys. As you know, DOJ recently expanded its efforts and added the prosecution of individuals for double voting or voting when ineligible (felony convictions or no U.S. citizenship). Although I did not know of this decision prior to the interview, the action is not a complete surprise, given the increasing pressure on the agency to pursue such cases that began with a real squeaker of a 1996 race in California's 46th CD (Orange County). In the interview with you, Donsanto also stated that the department evaluates each case before pursuing it, and does not pursue every individual referred for voting violations. (You may remember he noted his reluctance to pursue noncitizen voting, which can result in deportation, when it could separate the individual from his family.)

In my opinion, the addition of the prosecution of individuals, while an important new development, is not a complete change in direction or focus. The pursuit of individual violators does not supplant DOJ's continuing efforts to pursue organized schemes to corrupt the process. It is part of a recent expansion of the agency's efforts to combat election crime that includes: (1) more aggressive pursuit of criminal campaign finance violations (not covered by EAC's study); (2) exploration of new avenues to prosecute voter suppression schemes (e.g.; the NH phone bank blocking case); (3) better training of U.S. attorneys and FBI agents in the recognition, investigation and prosecution of election offenses; (4) efforts to improve coordination with state and local law enforcement agencies; and (5) press conferences and public announcements before federal elections to publicize how the public can report election crimes. Donsanto provided information on much of these efforts either during the interview or by supplying case lists and training information on the day of the interview.

I hope you will reconsider revising the paragraph at issue.

Peggy Sims
Election Research Specialist
U.S. Election Assistance Commission

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

06/28/2006 04:47 PM

To psims@eac.gov
cc "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]
Subject donsanto interview

Hi Peg,

Job and I have discussed this matter and agree on our response to it.

Presumably the paragraph you are concerned about is the following:

005281

Since 2002, the department has brought more cases against alien voters, felon voters, and double voters than ever before. Previously, cases were only brought when there was a pattern or scheme to corrupt the process. Charges were not brought against individuals – those cases went un-prosecuted. This change in direction, focus, and level of aggression was by the decision of the Attorney General. The reason for the change was for deterrence purposes.

Neither of us thinks this passage says that the Department has stopped pursuing patterns, as you suggested, and we maintain that this is what Mr. Donsanto said to us in the interview. If Mr. Donsanto wants to object, perhaps he can write a letter or something to that effect that could be part of the record.

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/30/2006 05:29 PM

To Tova Andrea Wang

cc

Subject Contract Hours & Payments for Services

Here is the spreadsheet I have for you. Please let me know if you notice any discrepancies. Thanks. ---
Peggy



Wang Payment Tracking.xls

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/30/2006 06:19 PM

To "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

cc

Subject Re: Various

Not yet. The problem is that so many folks seem to be off for a long 4th of

005282

July weekend.

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message -----

From: "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]
Sent: 06/30/2006 05:58 PM
To: psims@eac.gov; wang@tcf.org
Subject: Various

Peg:

I had to take time off this afternoon to handle some issues. Did you get an answer as to my travel reimbursement?

I spoke to Tova about the Donsanto issue. We both agree about what we heard during the interview. We also agree that this is taking up too much time (of which we have so little left) and is a minor part of one interview which makes up one of thirty interviews. I feel the same as Tova, the Commission was not in on the interview and thus do not know what was said and we are not giving those interviewed the opportunity, especially given how long ago the interviews were, to object. Frankly, if the Commission wants to give us another sixty hours each we can call all of our interviewees, give them the review and ask for comments. In any case, we can't include comments from other interviews with, or lectures by person interviewed, outside of our interview with that person. We simply can't afford to single out one statement in one interview that there is a disagreement on. Finally, I don't read the paragraph as you do---I remember what was said---the paragraph clearly does not imply an abandonment of other DOJ electoral investigations.

Job

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----



"Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

06/30/2006 07:10 PM

To psims@eac.gov, wang@tcf.org
cc

Subject Re: Various

Peg:

Its ok with me as long as we finish before the end of November.

005283

Job

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> Actually, the Donsanto interview was the only one I
> did attend, but I agree the issue is taking up too
> much of your time. I just wanted you to be forewarned
> that the paragraph has already raised red flags in
> DC of and is likely to result in an edit. Enough
> said about that.

>
> I am concerned about the number of hours left for
> this project. If you and Tova both agree, I'll see
> if our Contracting Officer will approve a contract
> mod to provide for some additional hours and money
> to incorporate comments received on the report and
> other efforts that fall within the tasks specified
> in the current contract. We won't get 60 thou, but
> there might be a little year end money we can use to
> finish this off properly.

> Peg

>

> -----

> Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

>

>

>

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

> Sent: 06/30/2006 05:58 PM

> To: psims@eac.gov; wang@tcf.org

> Subject: Various

>

> Peg:

>

> I had to take time off this afternoon to handle some
> issues. Did you get an answer as to my travel
> reimbursement?

>

> I spoke to Tova about the Donsanto issue. We both
> agree about what we heard during the interview. We
> also agree that this is taking up too much time (of
> which we have so little left) and is a minor part of
> one interview which makes up one of thirty
> interviews.

> I feel the same as Tova, the Commission was not in
> on

> the interview and thus do not know what was said and
> we are not giving those interviewed the opportunity,
> especially given how long ago the interviews were,
> to

> object. Frankly, if the Commission wants to give us
> another sixty hours each we can call all of our
> interviewees, give them the review and ask for
> comments. In any case, we can't include comments
> from

> other interviews with, or lectures by person
> interviewed, outside of our interview with that
> person. We simply can't afford to single out one
> statement in one interview that there is a

> disagreement on. Finally, I don't read the paragraph

005284

> as you do---I remember what was said---the paragraph
> clearly does not imply an abandonment of other DOJ
> electoral investigations.
>
> Job
>
>
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/26/2006 04:38 PM

To "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>@GSAEXTERNAL

cc dromig@eac.gov, [REDACTED]

Subject RE: May 18, 2006 Meeting [REDACTED]

I wasn't planning on circulating the transcript to the Commissioners. Most of them probably don't have the time to go through the whole thing. I will let them know it is available, if they are interested in reviewing it.
--- Peggy

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>



"Tova Wang"

<wang@tcf.org>

06/23/2006 01:04 PM

To dromig@eac.gov, psims@eac.gov

cc [REDACTED]

Subject RE: May 18, 2006 Meeting

Wow, there are a lot of errors in this. But at least it gets at the substance. Will this be circulated to the commissioners?

-----Original Message-----

From: dromig@eac.gov [mailto:dromig@eac.gov]

Sent: Thursday, June 22, 2006 2:45 PM

To: psims@eac.gov

Cc: [REDACTED], wang@tcf.org

Subject: Fw: May 18, 2006 Meeting

Good news!!! The transcript is finally here.

Devon Romig
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave. NW, Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005
202.566.2377 phone
202.566.3128 fax
www.eac.gov

005285

----- Forwarded by Devon E. Romig/EAC/GOV on 06/22/2006 03:44 PM -----
"Carol J. Thomas Reporting" [REDACTED]

06/22/2006 03:24 PM

To dromig@eac.gov
cc jwilson@eac.gov
Subject May 18, 2006 Meeting

Dear EAC,

Attached please note the ASCII file for the Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Meeting taken on Wednesday, May 18, 2006. Your transcript has been shipped to you.

ASCII file name: 051806.txt

Please let us know if you have any questions.

Timothy Brischler, Office Manager, 703.273.9221

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----



"Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

06/27/2006 10:07 PM

To wang@tcf.org, psims@eac.gov
cc
Subject Re: definition

I am ok with it.

--- Tova Wang <wang@tcf.org> wrote:

> Is this OK now?
>
> Tova Andrea Wang
> Democracy Fellow
> The Century Foundation
> 41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
> phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534
>
> Visit our Web site, <<http://www.tcf.org/>>
> www.tcf.org, for the latest news,
> analysis, opinions, and events.
>
>
> _____
>

005286

> <mailto:join-tcfmain@mailhost.groundspring.org>
> Click here to receive our
> weekly e-mail updates.
>
>
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"

06/26/2006 06:52 PM

To wang@tcf.org, psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Methodology for Cases



Methodology for Case Review.doc


----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/27/2006 04:05 PM

To Jeannie Layson/EAC/GOV

cc

Subject Re: US News & World Report inquiry 

Jeannie:

Here are my responses:

1. When will EAC receive the preliminary report on voter intimidation and voting fraud?

I anticipate that we will have a draft final report from our consultants in 2-3 weeks, after our consultants have had time to review the transcript from the project Working Group meeting, which was not available until last week.

2. When we receive the preliminary report, what is the EAC process to formulate a final product that will be made public?

First, Commissioners and Commission staff will have to review the preliminary draft. Then a draft will be submitted to the EAC Standards Board and EAC Advisory Board for review and comment. This second step is taken in accordance with HAVA §247, which requires EAC to carry out its duties under Title II, Subtitle C (Studies and Other Activities to Promote Effective Administration of Federal Elections) in consultation with the Standards Board and the Board of Advisors.

3. When will we make this research available to the public? What form will it be in? (Best practices, etc.)

The final report cannot be made public until it has been accepted by the Commissioners. Normally, this does not happen until the researcher(s) submit a final report that has been revised to address clarifications and corrections deemed necessary through the review process described above. The time it takes for the researchers to produce this final report will depend, somewhat, on the number of clarifications and corrections deemed necessary.

005287

As the researchers were charged with conducting preliminary background research on voting fraud and voter intimidation in the U.S., this report will not include recommended best practices. It will summarize the preliminary research as well as the deliberations of our project Working Group. It also will include recommendations for future EAC activity related to the development of: (1) methods of identifying, deterring, and investigating voting fraud and voter intimidation; and (2) nationwide statistics on voting fraud.

If the reporter has spoken to Secretary Rokita, who maintains that EAC has no authority to conduct this research, you may want to note that EAC initiated this preliminary research on voting fraud and voter intimidation in accordance with the Help America Vote Act, (HAVA) §241, which requires EAC to conduct research on election administration issues, including the development of:

- nationwide statistics and methods of identifying, deterring, and investigating voting fraud in elections for Federal office [§241(b)(6)]; and
- ways of identifying, deterring, and investigating methods of voter intimidation [§241(b)(7)].

At its 2005 meeting, EAC's Board of Advisors recommended that the agency make research on these matters a high priority.

Peggy Sims
Election Research Specialist
U.S. Election Assistance Commission

Jeannie Layson/EAC/GOV

Jeannie Layson/EAC/GOV

06/27/2006 02:26 PM

To psims@eac.gov, twilkey@eac.gov

cc

Subject US News & World Report inquiry

Please provide answers to the following questions, posed to me by US News & World Report's Scott Michels. I need this info by the end of the day to meet his deadline.

1. When will EAC receive the preliminary report on voter intimidation and voting fraud?
2. When we receive the preliminary report, what is the EAC process to formulate a final product that will be made public?
3. When will we make this research available to the public? What form will it be in? (Best practices, etc.)

Jeannie Layson
U.S. Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW
Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005
Phone: 202-566-3100
www.eac.gov

005288

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>
06/27/2006 01:31 PM

To "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED] psims@eac.gov
cc
Subject definition

Is this OK now?

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.



Fraud Project Definition-rev 6-27.doc

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>
06/28/2006 04:47 PM

To psims@eac.gov
cc "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]
Subject donsanto interview

Hi Peg,

Job and I have discussed this matter and agree on our response to it.

Presumably the paragraph you are concerned about is the following:

Since 2002, the department has brought more cases against alien voters, felon voters, and double voters than ever before. Previously, cases were only brought when there was a pattern or scheme to corrupt the process. Charges were not brought against individuals – those cases went un-prosecuted. This change in direction, focus, and level of aggression was by the decision of the Attorney General. The reason for the change was for deterrence purposes.

Neither of us thinks this passage says that the Department has stopped pursuing patterns, as you suggested, and we maintain that this is what Mr. Donsanto said to us in the interview. If Mr. Donsanto wants to object, perhaps he can write a letter or something to that effect that could be part of the record.

005289

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/29/2006 01:00 PM

To: "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>@GSAEXTERNAL, Job
Serebrov
cc: twilkey@eac.gov

Subject: Re: donsanto interview

Tova and Job:

All I can do is advise you that I don't think this paragraph will pass by the Commission, as written, because readers can misinterpret what is being reported and use something published by EAC against DOJ. I suspect that both of you are aware of legal action being taken by an advocacy group against DOJ alleging that the agency is acting in a manner that fails to protect, and even discourages, the voter participation of minorities and disadvantaged individuals. Though I do not intend to address the merits of that action, which focuses on the efforts of more than one DOJ office, I am concerned that some readers would use the sentence that begins with "This change in direction, focus, and level of aggression ..." as evidence that DOJ's Election Crimes Branch has completely changed course to focus on aggressively pursuing individuals who vote when ineligible, many of whom are minorities.

It is true that, for years, the Election Crimes Branch did not pursue individual violators. (I certainly observed this from the time I became involved in researching election administration matters in 1986.) Much of the reason for this is that the agency just did not have the resources to pursue everything; so, as the agency budget permitted, DOJ pursued cases that provided the most bang for the buck --- cases involving multiple individuals that were not already being pursued by State or local public attorneys. As you know, DOJ recently expanded its efforts and added the prosecution of individuals for double voting or voting when ineligible (felony convictions or no U.S. citizenship). Although I did not know of this decision prior to the interview, the action is not a complete surprise, given the increasing pressure on the agency to pursue such cases that began with a real squeaker of a 1996 race in California's 46th CD (Orange County). In the interview with you, Donsanto also stated that the department evaluates each case before pursuing it, and does not pursue every individual referred for voting violations. (You may remember he noted his reluctance to pursue noncitizen voting, which can result in deportation, when it could separate the individual from his family.)

In my opinion, the addition of the prosecution of individuals, while an important new development, is not a complete change in direction or focus. The pursuit of individual violators does not supplant DOJ's continuing efforts to pursue organized schemes to corrupt the process. It is part of a recent expansion of the agency's efforts to combat election crime that includes: (1) more aggressive pursuit of criminal campaign finance violations (not covered by EAC's study); (2) exploration of new avenues to prosecute voter suppression schemes (e.g.; the NH phone bank blocking case); (3) better training of U.S. attorneys and FBI agents in the recognition, investigation and prosecution of election offenses; (4) efforts to improve

005290

coordination with state and local law enforcement agencies; and (5) press conferences and public announcements before federal elections to publicize how the public can report election crimes. Donsanto provided information on much of these efforts either during the interview or by supplying case lists and training information on the day of the interview.

I hope you will reconsider revising the paragraph at issue.

Peggy Sims
Election Research Specialist
U.S. Election Assistance Commission

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>
06/28/2006 04:47 PM

To psims@eac.gov
cc "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]
Subject donsanto interview

Hi Peg,

Job and I have discussed this matter and agree on our response to it.

Presumably the paragraph you are concerned about is the following:

Since 2002, the department has brought more cases against alien voters, felon voters, and double voters than ever before. Previously, cases were only brought when there was a pattern or scheme to corrupt the process. Charges were not brought against individuals – those cases went un-prosecuted. This change in direction, focus, and level of aggression was by the decision of the Attorney General. The reason for the change was for deterrence purposes.

Neither of us thinks this passage says that the Department has stopped pursuing patterns, as you suggested, and we maintain that this is what Mr. Donsanto said to us in the interview. If Mr. Donsanto wants to object, perhaps he can write a letter or something to that effect that could be part of the record.

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

005291

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"

07/02/2006 09:34 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Travel Funds

Peggy:

Still no travel funds. Please see what you can fund out on Monday. At this point this is late.

Job

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/03/2006 10:36 AM

To Thomas Wilkey

cc

Subject Fw: Various

Further comment from Tova. --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 07/03/2006 10:36 AM -----



wang@tcf.org

06/30/2006 09:45 PM

To wang@tcf.org, psims@eac.gov, "Job Serebrov"

cc

Subject Re: Various

Also, I maintain that a reasonable solution to this is to allow Donsanto and/or any of the commissioners who desire to do so to provide a statement that would be included in the report and in the record.

----- Original Message -----

From: <wang@tcf.org>

To: <psims@eac.gov>; "Job Serebrov" <[REDACTED]>

Cc: "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

Sent: Friday, June 30, 2006 9:42 PM

Subject: Re: Various

> That would be great on the contract.

>

> If the interview is "edited" as you put it, I will be very, very
> uncomfortable, as I believe Job would be as well. I know you don't want
> to spend anymore time on this, but I consider it a rather important issue,

005292

> and I think Job does too. I would be happy to talk to you and Tom and any
> of the commissioners about this further if that would be helpful. I am
> available by cell over the next four days and in the office all next week.

> Thanks for the updated invoice stuff. Happy 4th.

> Tova

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: <psims@eac.gov>

> To: "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

> Cc: "Tova Andrea Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

> Sent: Friday, June 30, 2006 6:41 PM

> Subject: Re: Various

>> Actually, the Donsanto interview was the only one I did attend, but I
>> agree the issue is taking up too much of your time. I just wanted you to
>> be forewarned that the paragraph has already raised red flags in OC of and
>> is likely to result in an edit. Enough said about that.

>> I am concerned about the number of hours left for this project. If you
>> and Tova both agree, I'll see if our Contracting Officer will approve a
>> contract mod to provide for some additional hours and money to
>> incorporate comments received on the report and other efforts that fall
>> within the tasks specified in the current contract. We won't get 60
>> thou, but there might be a little year end money we can use to finish
>> this off properly.

>> Peg

>> -----
>> Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

>> ----- Original Message -----

>> From: "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

>> Sent: 06/30/2006 05:58 PM

>> To: psims@eac.gov; wang@tcf.org

>> Subject: Various

>> Peg:

>> I had to take time off this afternoon to handle some
>> issues. Did you get an answer as to my travel
>> reimbursement?

>> I spoke to Tova about the Donsanto issue. We both
>> agree about what we heard during the interview. We
>> also agree that this is taking up too much time (of
>> which we have so little left) and is a minor part of
>> one interview which makes up one of thirty interviews.
>> I feel the same as Tova, the Commission was not in on
>> the interview and thus do not know what was said and
>> we are not giving those interviewed the opportunity,
>> especially given how long ago the interviews were, to
>> object. Frankly, if the Commission wants to give us
>> another sixty hours each we can call all of our
>> interviewees, give them the review and ask for
>> comments. In any case, we can't include comments from
>> other interviews with, or lectures by person
>> interviewed, outside of our interview with that

005293

>> person. We simply can't afford to single out one
>> statement in one interview that there is a
>> disagreement on. Finally, I don't read the paragraph
>> as you do---I remember what was said---the paragraph
>> clearly does not imply an abandonment of other DOJ
>> electoral investigations.
>>
>> Job
>>
>>
>>
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/03/2006 11:12 AM

To Bola Olu/EAC/GOV

cc

Subject Fw: Travel Funds

Can you please find out where GSA is with this reimbursement? Thanks. --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 07/03/2006 11:12 AM -----



"Job Serebrov"

07/02/2006 09:34 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Travel Funds

Peggy:

Still no travel funds. Please see what you can fund out on Monday. At this point this is late.

Job

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----

Bola Olu/EAC/GOV

07/03/2006 11:57 AM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: Fw: Travel Funds

Peggy:

005294

I am assuming you are referring to the 6/9/06 payment in the amount of \$1,200.03. I checked with Finance and the payout date is today.

Bola Olu
Financial Administrative Specialist
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Avenue N.W., Suite - 1100
Washington, DC 20005
P:202-566-3124
F:202/566-3127
<http://www.eac.gov/>

"Integrity - Treat everyone with the same principle, be loyal to those who are not present"

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/03/2006 11:12 AM

To Bola Olu/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Fw: Travel Funds

Can you please find out where GSA is with this reimbursement? Thanks. --- Peggy

--- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 07/03/2006 11:12 AM ---



"Job Serebrov"

07/02/2006 09:34 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Travel Funds

Peggy:

Still no travel funds. Please see what you can fund out on Monday. At this point this is late.

Job

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/03/2006 12:51 PM

To Job Serebrov

cc

Subject Payments for Personal Services

005295

Job:

I may have forgotten to send this summary of payments for personal services to you. If I didn't, here it is again. --- Peggy



Serebrov Payment Tracking.xls

--- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM ---

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/03/2006 10:35 AM

To wang@tcf.org@GSAEXTERNAL

cc "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED] "Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

Subject Re: Various [REDACTED]

Most of the Commissioners and Tom will be out of the office for the next two weeks to attend the IACREOT, NASS, and NASED summer conferences. I'll let Tom know you want to talk with him when I see him at the airport tomorrow. He may decide to call from out of town. --- Peggy

wang@tcf.org



wang@tcf.org

06/30/2006 09:42 PM

To psims@eac.gov, "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

cc "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

Subject Re: Various

That would be great on the contract.

If the interview is "edited" as you put it, I will be very, very uncomfortable, as I believe Job would be as well. I know you don't want to spend anymore time on this, but I consider it a rather important issue, and I think Job does too. I would be happy to talk to you and Tom and any of the commissioners about this further if that would be helpful. I am available by cell over the next four days and in the office all next week.

Thanks for the updated invoice stuff. Happy 4th.

Tova

----- Original Message -----

From: <psims@eac.gov>

To: "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

Cc: "Tova Andrea Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

Sent: Friday, June 30, 2006 6:41 PM

Subject: Re: Various

> Actually, the Donsanto interview was the only one I did attend, but I
> agree the issue is taking up too much of your time. I just wanted you to
> be forewarned that the paragraph has already raised red flags in DC of and
> is likely to result in an edit. Enough said about that.

005296

>
> I am concerned about the number of hours left for this project. If you and
> Tova both agree, I'll see if our Contracting Officer will approve a
> contract mod to provide for some additional hours and money to incorporate
> comments received on the report and other efforts that fall within the
> tasks specified in the current contract. We won't get 60 thou, but there
> might be a little year end money we can use to finish this off properly.
> Peg

>
> -----
> Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
>
>
>

> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]
> Sent: 06/30/2006 05:58 PM
> To: psims@eac.gov; wang@tcf.org
> Subject: Various

> Peg:

>
> I had to take time off this afternoon to handle some
> issues. Did you get an answer as to my travel
> reimbursement?
>

> I spoke to Tova about the Donsanto issue. We both
> agree about what we heard during the interview. We
> also agree that this is taking up too much time (of
> which we have so little left) and is a minor part of
> one interview which makes up one of thirty interviews.
> I feel the same as Tova, the Commission was not in on
> the interview and thus do not know what was said and
> we are not giving those interviewed the opportunity,
> especially given how long ago the interviews were, to
> object. Frankly, if the Commission wants to give us
> another sixty hours each we can call all of our
> interviewees, give them the review and ask for
> comments. In any case, we can't include comments from
> other interviews with, or lectures by person
> interviewed, outside of our interview with that
> person. We simply can't afford to single out one
> statement in one interview that there is a
> disagreement on. Finally, I don't read the paragraph
> as you do---I remember what was said---the paragraph
> clearly does not imply an abandonment of other DOJ
> electoral investigations.
>

> Job
>
>
>
>


----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----

Devon E. Romig/EAC/GOV

07/03/2006 01:22 PM

To wang@tcf.org, [REDACTED]

005297



cc Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC
Subject Revised Risk Analysis Methodology Brennan Center



Revised-Risk Analysis Methodology-Brennan Center excerpt.doc

Devon Romig
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave. NW, Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005
202.566.2377 phone
202.566.3128 fax
www.eac.gov

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----



"Job Serebroy"

07/06/2006 08:25 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Travel Funds

Peg:

I still have not received the travel funds. This is causing a large financial problem. I don't know what is with these people but it is obvious my bank has not received it and I doubt it was sent. Please find out what is going on.

Job

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----



"Tova Wang"

<wang@tcf.org>

07/03/2006 12:19 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: Estimated Additional Hours Needed

I think I've already gone over my hours. Let me know when I submit my invoice. If I have, I'll just reduce them on paper. Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

Sent: Monday, July 03, 2006 10:30 AM

To: wang@tcf.org

Subject: RE: Estimated Additional Hours Needed

005298

We'll have to guesstimate. It is likely that we will receive some comments and questions from the Commissioners and a number of comments from the boards. We could do the modification a little later, but we have to do it before the end of August to take advantage of year-end funds. Basically, the sooner we can figure this out, the better chance we have of using some of the year-end money for this project, before it is taken for something else. We have no guaranties that funds will be available in the next fiscal year. --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

07/03/2006 11:13 AM

To: psims@eac.gov
cc:
Subject RE: Estimated Additional Hours Needed

Doesn't it really depend on what the Commission comes back to us with? Its kind of hard to estimate before knowing what they're going to want.

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]
Sent: Monday, July 03, 2006 10:11 AM
To: wang@tcf.org; [REDACTED]
Cc: twilkey@eac.gov
Subject: Estimated Additional Hours Needed

Tova and Job:

I don't have the authority to modify contracts, but Tom Wilkey does. In order to help Tom determine how many additional hours (and dollars) should be added to your personal services contracts, I'll need an estimate from the two of you for the number of additional hours required to complete the final report (taking into account revisions that may be needed to address questions and comments submitted by the Commissioners and the EAC Standards Board and Board of Advisors). Please note that we cannot add any tasks to the existing contract, but we can account for additional hours required to complete the final report.

Peggy Sims
Election Research Specialist
U.S. Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave, NW - Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
Phone: 866-747-1471 (toll free) or 202-566-3120 (direct)
Fax: 202-566-3127
email: psims@eac.gov

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----

005299

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/03/2006 12:46 PM

To "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>@GSAEXTERNAL

cc

Subject RE: Estimated Additional Hours Needed 

I thought I emailed an account of your hours used. Just in case I didn't, here it is again.



Wang Payment Tracking.xls

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>



"Tova.Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

07/03/2006 12:19 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: Estimated Additional Hours Needed

I think I've already gone over my hours. Let me know when I submit my invoice. If I have, I'll just reduce them on paper. Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

Sent: Monday, July 03, 2006 10:30 AM

To: wang@tcf.org

Subject: RE: Estimated Additional Hours Needed

We'll have to guesstimate. It is likely that we will receive some comments and questions from the Commissioners and a number of comments from the boards. We could do the modification a little later, but we have to do it before the end of August to take advantage of year-end funds. Basically, the sooner we can figure this out, the better chance we have of using some of the year-end money for this project, before it is taken for something else. We have no guaranties that funds will be available in the next fiscal year. --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

07/03/2006 11:13 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: Estimated Additional Hours Needed

005300

Doesn't it really depend on what the Commission comes back to us with? Its kind of hard to estimate before knowing what they're going to want.

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

Sent: Monday, July 03, 2006 10:11 AM

To: wang@tcf.org; [REDACTED]

Cc: twilkey@eac.gov

Subject: Estimated Additional Hours Needed

Tova and Job:

I don't have the authority to modify contracts, but Tom Wilkey does. In order to help Tom determine how many additional hours (and dollars) should be added to your personal services contracts, I'll need an estimate from the two of you for the number of additional hours required to complete the final report (taking into account revisions that may be needed to address questions and comments submitted by the Commissioners and the EAC Standards Board and Board of Advisors). Please note that we cannot add any tasks to the existing contract, but we can account for additional hours required to complete the final report.

Peggy Sims
Election Research Specialist
U.S. Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave, NW - Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
Phone: 866-747-1471 (toll free) or 202-566-3120 (direct)
Fax: 202-566-3127
email: psims@eac.gov

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"

[REDACTED]
07/07/2006 08:06 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Travel Funds

Peg:

My travel funds finally came in to my bank.

Job

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----



"Tova Wang"

<wang@tcf.org>

07/03/2006 11:13 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

005301



Subject RE: Estimated Additional Hours Needed

Doesn't it really depend on what the Commission comes back to us with? Its kind of hard to estimate before knowing what they're going to want.

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]
Sent: Monday, July 03, 2006 10:11 AM
To: wang@tcf.org; [REDACTED]
Cc: twilkey@eac.gov
Subject: Estimated Additional Hours Needed

Tova and Job:

I don't have the authority to modify contracts, but Tom Wilkey does. In order to help Tom determine how many additional hours (and dollars) should be added to your personal services contracts, I'll need an estimate from the two of you for the number of additional hours required to complete the final report (taking into account revisions that may be needed to address questions and comments submitted by the Commissioners and the EAC Standards Board and Board of Advisors). Please note that we cannot add any tasks to the existing contract, but we can account for additional hours required to complete the final report.

Peggy Sims
Election Research Specialist
U.S. Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave, NW - Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
Phone: 866-747-1471 (toll free) or 202-566-3120 (direct)
Fax: 202-566-3127
email: psims@eac.gov

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----



wang@tcf.org
07/01/2006 05:30 PM

To psims@eac.gov
cc [REDACTED]
Subject Re: FW: methodology

It would be great if someone there could work on cleaning it up. Let us know. Thanks.

----- Original Message -----

From: psims@eac.gov
To: wang@tcf.org
Cc: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, June 30, 2006 5:25 PM
Subject: Re: FW: methodology

005302

The attached is the text extracted from pages 8-19 and the Attachment C referenced within the text. The formatting is still a little weird. Can you work with this, or do I need to play with it some more? --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

06/29/2006 12:07 PM


To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject FW: methodology

Will it be possible for you to extract the excerpt for inclusion in the report? Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: Job Serebrov [mailto:
Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2006 5:40 PM
To: Tova Wang; psims@eac.gov
Subject: Re: methodology

Agreed

--- Tova Wang <wang@tcf.org> wrote:

> As you may recall, the working group expressed
> interest in the risk analysis
> method. The recent report by the Brennan Center on
> voting machines employs
> this methodology. If you look at pp. 8-19 of the
> attached, it provides a
> potential model. I think it might be worth
> including this as an appendix or
> footnote in the methodology section. Please let me
> know what you think.
> Tova
>
> Tova Andrea Wang
> Democracy Fellow
> The Century Foundation
> 41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
> phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534
>
> Visit our Web site, <<http://www.tcf.org/>>
> www.tcf.org, for the latest news,
> analysis, opinions, and events.
>
> _____

005303

>
> <mailto:join-tcfmain@mailhost.groundspring.org>
> Click here to receive our
> weekly e-mail updates.
>
>
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/03/2006 11:13 AM

To "Job Serebrov"

cc [REDACTED]

Subject Re: Travel Funds [REDACTED]

I have asked our finance folks to check with GSA. I will let you know when I receive the answer. --- Peggy

"Job Serebrov" <serebrov@sbcglobal.net>



"Job Serebrov"

07/02/2006 09:34 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Travel Funds

Peggy:

Still no travel funds. Please see what you can fund out on Monday. At this point this is late.

Job

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/03/2006 11:11 AM

To Tova Andrea Wang, Job Serebrov

cc twilkey@eac.gov

Subject Estimated Additional Hours Needed

Tova and Job:

005304

I don't have the authority to modify contracts, but Tom Wilkey does. In order to help Tom determine how many additional hours (and dollars) should be added to your personal services contracts, I'll need an estimate from the two of you for the number of additional hours required to complete the final report (taking into account revisions that may be needed to address questions and comments submitted by the Commissioners and the EAC Standards Board and Board of Advisors). Please note that we cannot add any tasks to the existing contract, but we can account for additional hours required to complete the final report.

Peggy Sims
Election Research Specialist
U.S. Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave, NW - Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
Phone: 866-747-1471 (toll free) or 202-566-3120 (direct)
Fax: 202-566-3127
email: psims@eac.gov

--- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM ---

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/11/2006 12:05 PM

To Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

cc

Subject Re: Fraud and Intimidation Study 

I think it is this one. --- Peggy



EAC Boards VF-VI Status Report.doc

Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

Juliet E.
Thompson-Hodgkins/EAC/G
OV

07/11/2006 11:38 AM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: Fraud and Intimidation Study 

Will you please send me a copy of the referenced report?

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100
Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/11/2006 10:55 AM

To Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc "Tom Wilkey" <twilkey@eac.gov>

005305

Subject Re: Fraud and Intimidation Study

It sounds similar to the issues I had with the Donsanto interview. It was a classic example of the interviewers' interpreting what was said through their own biases.

It also is true that the original interview summaries failed to differentiate between the criminal definition of intimidation and the consultants use of the term. The consultants have revised their definition to note that it goes beyond the legal definition, but we may need to repeat the statement where the DOJ interviews are referenced.

I have already brought the Donsanto matter to our contractors' attention. When they responded that they did not think they should redraft that section, I told them that the section will likely be edited. It appears that we will have to do the same with the reference to Tanner's interview.

Why don't we discuss this with Tanner (and Donsanto) after we have had a chance to review a consolidated draft of the final report? We can determine what clarifications or corrections are necessary at that time.

Peg

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins

From: Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins
Sent: 07/11/2006 09:46 AM
To: Margaret Sims
Subject: Re: Fraud and Intimidation Study

His concerns are that there were inaccurate or false statements about DOJ on pages 5 and 6, that in his words demonstrated a lack of understanding of criminal law.

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100
Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/11/2006 09:26 AM

To Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC
cc

Subject Re: Fraud and Intimidation Study

Perhaps he was looking at the report that was delivered to the EAC boards. Let's find out what his concerns are so that we can address them.

Peg

005306

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins

From: Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins
Sent: 07/10/2006 02:34 PM
To: Margaret Sims
Subject: Re: Fraud and Intimidation Study

Tanner said he got it from Cameron. And referred specifically to pp. 5 and 6. I don't remember that the summaries of interviews were laid out that way.

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100
Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/10/2006 02:29 PM

To Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: Fraud and Intimidation Study

I have not yet seen a draft final report. My best guess is that Tanner is concerned about the summary of his interview. I have already had discussions with our consultants about the description of the Donsanto interview, at which I was present. Wilkey knows that I won't let it go as is. I wasn't at the Tanner interview, but would be interested in hearing where he thinks the consultants went wrong.

It is possible that, due to my objections re the Donsanto interview, the consultants may have asked Tanner to review their description of his interview. I won't know for sure until I can contact them.

I gave you and Gavin a folder that included a summary of interviews, etc before the working group meeting. Also, the report delivered to the boards on this project is in the shared drawer under Research in Progress-Voting Fraud-Intimidation. That is everything I have at the moment.

Peg

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins

From: Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins
Sent: 07/10/2006 10:55 AM
To: Margaret Sims
Cc: Thomas Wilkey
Subject: Fraud and Intimidation Study

I received a call from John Tanner today who was upset with pages 5 and 6 of some draft paper that he had received regarding our Fraud and Intimidation Study. I am in a very uncomfortable situation in that I have not received a copy of this paper and the Office of General Counsel has not vetted this document and yet I am being questioned about why there are erroneous statements in this paper. Please provide me with a copy of this document and please explain to me how John Tanner got a copy of this document

005307

before I did.

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/11/2006 10:55 AM

To Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc "Tom Wilkey" <twilkey@eac.gov>

Subject Re: Fraud and Intimidation Study

It sounds similar to the issues I had with the Donsanto interview. It was a classic example of the interviewers' interpreting what was said through their own biases.

It also is true that the original interview summaries failed to differentiate between the criminal definition of intimidation and the consultants use of the term.. The consultants have revised their definition to note that it goes beyond the legal definition, but we may need to repeat the statement where the DOJ interviews are referenced.

I have already brought the Donsanto matter to our contractors' attention. When they responded that they did not think they should redraft that section, I told them that the section will likely be edited. It appears that we will have to do the same with the reference to Tanner's interview.

Why don't we discuss this with Tanner (and Donsanto) after we have had a chance to review a consolidated draft of the final report? We can determine what clarifications or corrections are necessary at that time.

Peg

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins

From: Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins
Sent: 07/11/2006 09:46 AM
To: Margaret Sims
Subject: Re: Fraud and Intimidation Study

His concerns are that there were inaccurate or false statements about DOJ on pages 5 and 6, that in his words demonstrated a lack of understanding of criminal law.

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins

005308

General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100
Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/11/2006 09:26 AM

To Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: Fraud and Intimidation Study

Perhaps he was looking at the report that was delivered to the EAC boards. Let's find out what his concerns are so that we can address them.
Peg

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins

From: Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins
Sent: 07/10/2006 02:34 PM
To: Margaret Sims
Subject: Re: Fraud and Intimidation Study

Tanner said he got it from Cameron. And referred specifically to pp. 5 and 6. I don't remember that the summaries of interviews were laid out that way.

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100
Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/10/2006 02:29 PM

To Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: Fraud and Intimidation Study

I have not yet seen a draft final report. My best guess is that Tanner is concerned about the summary of his interview. I have already had discussions with our consultants about the description of the Donsanto interview, at which I was present. Wilkey knows that I won't let it go as is. I wasn't at the Tanner interview, but would be interested in hearing where he thinks the consultants went wrong.

It is possible that, due to my objections re the Donsanto interview, the consultants may have asked Tanner to review their description of his interview. I won't know for sure until I can contact them.

005309

I gave you and Gavin a folder that included a summary of interviews, etc before the working group meeting. Also, the report delivered to the boards on this project is in the shared drawer under Research in Progress-Voting Fraud-Intimidation. That is everything I have at the moment.

Peg

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins

From: Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins
Sent: 07/10/2006 10:55 AM
To: Margaret Sims
Cc: Thomas Wilkey
Subject: Fraud and Intimidation Study

I received a call from John Tanner today who was upset with pages 5 and 6 of some draft paper that he had received regarding our Fraud and Intimidation Study. I am in a very uncomfortable situation in that I have not received a copy of this paper and the Office of General Counsel has not vetted this document and yet I am being questioned about why there are erroneous statements in this paper. Please provide me with a copy of this document and please explain to me how John Tanner got a copy of this document before I did.

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/03/2006 11:38 AM

To Devon Romig

cc

Subject Fw: methodology

Please edit the attached Word document to remove the returns at the end of each line that are not needed, then send it to Tova and Job. Thanks! --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 07/03/2006 11:37 AM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/30/2006 05:25 PM

To "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>@GSAEXTERNAL

cc [REDACTED]

Subject Re: FW: methodology [REDACTED]

005310

The attached is the text extracted from pages 8-19 and the Attachment C referenced within the text. The formatting is still a little weird. Can you work with this, or do I need to play with it some more? --- Peggy



Risk Analysis Methodology-Brennan Center excerpt.doc

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

06/29/2006 12:07 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject FW: methodology

Will it be possible for you to extract the excerpt for inclusion in the report? Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: Job Serebrov [mailto: [REDACTED]]
Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2006 5:40 PM
To: Tova Wang; psims@eac.gov
Subject: Re: methodology

Agreed

--- Tova Wang <wang@tcf.org> wrote:

> As you may recall, the working group expressed
> interest in the risk analysis
> method. The recent report by the Brennan Center on
> voting machines employs
> this methodology. If you look at pp. 8-19 of the
> attached, it provides a
> potential model. I think it might be worth
> including this as an appendix or
> footnote in the methodology section. Please let me
> know what you think.
> Tova

>
> Tova Andrea Wang
> Democracy Fellow
> The Century Foundation
> 41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
> phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534
>

> Visit our Web site, <<http://www.tcf.org/>>
> www.tcf.org, for the latest news,
> analysis, opinions, and events.
>

> _____
>
> <<mailto:join-tcfmain@mailhost.groundspring.org>>

005311

> Click here to receive our
> weekly e-mail updates.
>
>
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/05/2006 02:49 PM

To "Tova Andrea Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

cc

Subject Contract Hours

Tova:

If you have used up all of your remaining hours, you need to stop work until we have the contract modification in place that provides for more hours.

Peggy

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----



wang@tcf.org

06/30/2006 09:45 PM

To wang@tcf.org, psims@eac.gov, "Job Serebrov"

cc [REDACTED]

Subject Re: Various

Also, I maintain that a reasonable solution to this is to allow Donsanto and/or any of the commissioners who desire to do so to provide a statement that would be included in the report and in the record.

----- Original Message -----

From: <wang@tcf.org>

To: <psims@eac.gov>; "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

Cc: "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

Sent: Friday, June 30, 2006 9:42 PM

Subject: Re: Various

> That would be great on the contract.

>

> If the interview is "edited" as you put it, I will be very, very
> uncomfortable, as I believe Job would be as well. I know you don't want
> to spend anymore time on this, but I consider it a rather important issue,
> and I think Job does too. I would be happy to talk to you and Tom and any
> of the commissioners about this further if that would be helpful. I am
> available by cell over the next four days and in the office all next week.

005312

>
> Thanks for the updated invoice stuff. Happy 4th.
>
> Tova
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: <psims@eac.gov>
> To: "Job Serebrov" <[REDACTED]>
> Cc: "Tova Andrea Wang" <wang@tcf.org>
> Sent: Friday, June 30, 2006 6:41 PM
> Subject: Re: Various
>
>
>> Actually, the Donsanto interview was the only one I did attend, but I
>> agree the issue is taking up too much of your time. I just wanted you to
>> be forewarned that the paragraph has already raised red flags in DC of and
>> is likely to result in an edit. Enough said about that.
>>
>> I am concerned about the number of hours left for this project. If you
>> and Tova both agree, I'll see if our Contracting Officer will approve a
>> contract mod to provide for some additional hours and money to
>> incorporate comments received on the report and other efforts that fall
>> within the tasks specified in the current contract. We won't get 60
>> thou, but there might be a little year end money we can use to finish
>> this off properly.
>> Peg
>>
>> -----
>> Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
>>
>>
>> ----- Original Message -----
>> From: "Job Serebrov" <[REDACTED]>
>> Sent: 06/30/2006 05:58 PM
>> To: psims@eac.gov; wang@tcf.org
>> Subject: Various
>>
>> Peg:
>>
>> I had to take time off this afternoon to handle some
>> issues. Did you get an answer as to my travel
>> reimbursement?
>>
>> I spoke to Tova about the Donsanto issue. We both
>> agree about what we heard during the interview. We
>> also agree that this is taking up too much time (of
>> which we have so little left) and is a minor part of
>> one interview which makes up one of thirty interviews.
>> I feel the same as Tova, the Commission was not in on
>> the interview and thus do not know what was said and
>> we are not giving those interviewed the opportunity,
>> especially given how long ago the interviews were, to
>> object. Frankly, if the Commission wants to give us
>> another sixty hours each we can call all of our
>> interviewees, give them the review and ask for
>> comments. In any case, we can't include comments from
>> other interviews with, or lectures by person
>> interviewed, outside of our interview with that
>> person. We simply can't afford to single out one
>> statement in one interview that there is a
>> disagreement on. Finally, I don't read the paragraph

005313

>> as you do---I remember what was said---the paragraph
>> clearly does not imply an abandonment of other DOJ
>> electoral investigations.
>>
>> Job
>>
>>
>>
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:16 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/03/2006 12:40 PM

To Serebrov

cc

Subject Travel Reimbursement

GSA reports that a pay out of \$1,200.03 was made today. --- Peggy


----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:16 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/30/2006 05:25 PM

To "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>@GSAEXTERNAL

cc

Subject Re: FW: methodology 

The attached is the text extracted from pages 8-19 and the Attachment C referenced within the text. The formatting is still a little weird. Can you work with this, or do I need to play with it some more? --- Peggy



Risk Analysis Methodology-Brennan Center excerpt.doc

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>



"Tova Wang"

<wang@tcf.org>

06/29/2006 12:07 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject FW: methodology

Will it be possible for you to extract the excerpt for inclusion in the report? Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: Job Serebrov [mailto:serebrov@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2006 5:40 PM

005314

To: Tova Wang; psims@eac.gov
Subject: Re: methodology

Agreed

--- Tova Wang <wang@tcf.org> wrote:

> As you may recall, the working group expressed
> interest in the risk analysis
> method. The recent report by the Brennan Center on
> voting machines employs
> this methodology. If you look at pp. 8-19 of the
> attached, it provides a
> potential model. I think it might be worth
> including this as an appendix or
> footnote in the methodology section. Please let me
> know what you think.

> Tova

>

> Tova Andrea Wang
> Democracy Fellow
> The Century Foundation
> 41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
> phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

>

> Visit our Web site, <<http://www.tcf.org/>>
> www.tcf.org, for the latest news,
> analysis, opinions, and events.

>

>

>

> <mailto:join-tcfmain@mailhost.groundspring.org>
> Click here to receive our
> weekly e-mail updates.

>

>

>

--- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:16 PM ---

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/03/2006 11:04 AM

To "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>@GSAEXTERNAL

cc [REDACTED]

Subject Re: final report [REDACTED]

Once is enough. You don't need to resend. --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>



"Tova Wang"

005315



<wang@tcf.org>
07/03/2006 09:10 AM

To psims@eac.gov
cc [REDACTED]
Subject final report

Peg, We don't need to re-send you all of the material that we gave you to provide to the working group for the final report, eg the individual interviews, research summaries, nexis and case charts, right? Thanks.
Happy 4th. Tova

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:16 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"
[REDACTED]
07/03/2006 10:14 PM

To psims@eac.gov, wang@tcf.org
cc
Subject Hrs

Peg:

It seems to Tova and me that somewhere between 30 and 40 for each of us would be safe (having learned from not asking for enough hours).

Job

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:16 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"
[REDACTED]
07/05/2006 07:19 PM

To psims@eac.gov
cc
Subject Re: Travel Reimbursement

No, its Bank of America. I just checked again and its

005316

not there. If it does not appear by morning I will need you to see what is going on.

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> They usually send it electronically. Could your bank
> have failed to post it due to the holiday? Does your
> bank tend to float deposits for a day or two?
> Peggy

>
> -----
> Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]
> Sent: 07/05/2006 08:13 AM
> To: psims@eac.gov
> Subject: Re: Travel Reimbursement

> Peg:
>
> I checked my account this morning (July 5th) and
> this
> still has not been paid. Did GSA mail it?
>
> Job

> --- psims@eac.gov wrote:

>
> > GSA reports that a pay out of \$1,200.03 was made
> > today. --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:16 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/03/2006 11:30 AM

To "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>@GSAEXTERNAL
cc

Subject RE: Estimated Additional Hours Needed

We'll have to guesstimate. It is likely that we will receive some comments and questions from the Commissioners and a number of comments from the boards. We could do the modification a little later, but we have to do it before the end of August to take advantage of year-end funds. Basically, the sooner we can figure this out, the better chance we have of using some of the year-end money for this project, before it is taken for something else. We have no guaranties that funds will be available in the next fiscal year. --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

005317



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>
07/03/2006 11:13 AM

To psims@eac.gov
cc
Subject RE: Estimated Additional Hours Needed

Doesn't it really depend on what the Commission comes back to us with? Its kind of hard to estimate before knowing what they're going to want.

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]
Sent: Monday, July 03, 2006 10:11 AM
To: wang@tcf.org; [REDACTED]
Cc: twilkey@eac.gov
Subject: Estimated Additional Hours Needed

Tova and Job:

I don't have the authority to modify contracts, but Tom Wilkey does. In order to help Tom determine how many additional hours (and dollars) should be added to your personal services contracts, I'll need an estimate from the two of you for the number of additional hours required to complete the final report (taking into account revisions that may be needed to address questions and comments submitted by the Commissioners and the EAC Standards Board and Board of Advisors). Please note that we cannot add any tasks to the existing contract, but we can account for additional hours required to complete the final report.

Peggy Sims
Election Research Specialist
U.S. Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave, NW - Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
Phone: 866-747-1471 (toll free) or 202-566-3120 (direct)
Fax: 202-566-3127
email: psims@eac.gov

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:16 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"
[REDACTED]
07/09/2006 06:00 PM

To psims@eac.gov, wang@tcf.org
cc
Subject Telephone Conference

Peg:

I need to move our call to next Monday at 7 pm EST.
What is the situation with the extra hours?

005318

Job

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:16 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/03/2006 11:35 AM

To "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>@GSAEXTERNAL

cc

Subject RE: FW: methodology

I've asked Devon to do it. She can get it to you faster than I. --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>



"Tova Wang"

<wang@tcf.org>

07/03/2006 11:18 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc [REDACTED]

Subject RE: FW: methodology

The excess returns would be a great start, and then I can do the rest.
Thanks a lot.

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

Sent: Monday, July 03, 2006 10:14 AM

To: wang@tcf.org

Cc: serebrov@sbcglobal.net

Subject: Re: FW: methodology

Do you just need to have the excess returns removed, or do you think it
needs other clean up as well? --- Peggy

wang@tcf.org

07/01/2006 05:30
PM

psims@eac.gov

To

cc

Re: FW: methodology

Subject

005319

It would be great if someone there could work on cleaning it up. Let us know. Thanks.

----- Original Message -----

From: psims@eac.gov

To: wang@tcf.org

Cc: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, June 30, 2006 5:25 PM

Subject: Re: FW: methodology

The attached is the text extracted from pages 8-19 and the Attachment C referenced within the text. The formatting is still a little weird. Can you work with this, or do I need to play with it some more? --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

06/29/2006 12:07 PM

To
psims@eac.gov
cc

Subject
FW: methodology

Will it be possible for you to extract the excerpt for inclusion in the report? Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: Job Serebrov [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2006 5:40 PM

To: Tova Wang; psims@eac.gov

Subject: Re: methodology

Agreed

--- Tova Wang <wang@tcf.org> wrote:

> As you may recall, the working group expressed
> interest in the risk analysis
> method. The recent report by the Brennan Center on
> voting machines employs

005320

> this methodology. If you look at pp. 8-19 of the
> attached, it provides a
> potential model. I think it might be worth
> including this as an appendix or
> footnote in the methodology section. Please let me
> know what you think.
> Tova
>
> Tova Andrea Wang
> Democracy Fellow
> The Century Foundation
> 41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
> phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534
>
> Visit our Web site, <<http://www.tcf.org/>>
> www.tcf.org, for the latest news,
> analysis, opinions, and events.
>
> _____
>
> <<mailto:join-tcfmain@mailhost.groundspring.org>>
> Click here to receive our
> weekly e-mail updates.
>
>
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:16 PM -----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

07/17/2006 10:29 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED] wang@tcf.org

Subject RE: final report

appendices attached, except Peg I think you put together the list of the working group members? In any case, I can't find one at the moment, but it would be easy enough to put together. Perhaps even Devon or someone could do that, especially since I don't think I have any hours left, and probably shouldn't even be writing this email. I don't remember the conversation about adding to the list of interviewees, but we can talk about that later.

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [<mailto:psims@eac.gov>]

Sent: Monday, July 17, 2006 9:13 AM

To: wang@tcf.org

Cc: 'Job Serebrov'; wang@tcf.org

Subject: Re: final report

Thanks. I probably won't be able to start getting into this until tomorrow AM. I noticed that the appendices weren't attached. I think we discussed earlier that the list of interviewees needed to

005321

have more information for the final report, and the list of books and documents should be presented in the same manner as a bibliography for the final report. We can talk more about this tonight during our teleconference at 7 PM EST. --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

07/17/2006 09:33 AM

To psims@eac.gov
CC "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED] wang@tcf.org
Subject final report

Hi Peg,

Attached please find **drafts** of the sections for the final report. Job, **please** double check I'm not missing anything or sent the wrong version of anything. I'm very concerned I may have. Is there a summary of the case review that I should have? Also, as we discussed, the attached does not include all of the individual summaries and charts which we already gave you for the working group and which have not changed. Peg, we'll want to see the complete set of the materials you plan to give to the commissioners, et.al., before you do so. If you could both let me know if all the formatting is OK, that would be great too. Thanks so much and look forward to talking to you at 7 EST.

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.



Click here to receive our weekly e-mail updates. List of Experts Interviewed.doc



APPENDIX C -- BRENNAN EXCERPT.doc Existing Literature Reviewed.doc

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:16 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/20/2006 02:46 PM

To Tova Andrea Wang

cc

005322

Subject Voucher

I received your faxed voucher today, signed it, and gave it to Finance. --- Peggy

--- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:16 PM ---

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/17/2006 12:25 PM

To "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>@GSAEXTERNAL

cc "'Job Serebrov'" [REDACTED] wang@tcf.org

Subject RE: final report [REDACTED]

Yes, I have the list of Working Group members. --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

07/17/2006 10:29 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc "'Job Serebrov'" [REDACTED] wang@tcf.org

Subject RE: final report

appendices attached, except Peg I think you put together the list of the working group members? In any case, I can't find one at the moment, but it would be easy enough to put together. Perhaps even Devon or someone could do that, especially since I don't think I have any hours left, and probably shouldn't even be writing this email. I don't remember the conversation about adding to the list of interviewees, but we can talk about that later.

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

Sent: Monday, July 17, 2006 9:13 AM

To: wang@tcf.org

Cc: 'Job Serebrov'; wang@tcf.org

Subject: Re: final report

Thanks. I probably won't be able to start getting into this until tomorrow AM. I noticed that the appendices weren't attached. I think we discussed earlier that the list of interviewees needed to have more information for the final report, and the list of books and documents should be presented in the same manner as a bibliography for the final report. We can talk more about this tonight during our teleconference at 7 PM EST. --- Peggy

005323

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

07/17/2006 09:33 AM

To psims@eac.gov
cc "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED] wang@tcf.org
Subject final report

Hi Peg,

Attached please find **drafts** of the sections for the final report. Job, **please** double check I'm not missing anything or sent the wrong version of anything. I'm very concerned I may have. Is there a summary of the case review that I should have? Also, as we discussed, the attached does not include all of the individual summaries and charts which we already gave you for the working group and which have not changed. Peg, we'll want to see the complete set of the materials you plan to give to the commissioners, et.al., before you do so. If you could both let me know if all the formatting is OK, that would be great too. Thanks so much and look forward to talking to you at 7 EST.

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.



[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates. List of Experts Interviewed.doc




APPENDIX C -- BRENNAN EXCERPT.doc Existing Literature Reviewed.doc

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:16 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/17/2006 01:41 PM

To "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>@GSAEXTERNAL
cc [REDACTED]
Subject RE: final report 

005324

Here is the list of Working Group members with some information highlighted about each individual. Yes, you can email me later in the day to let me know if I should call you at home or at work. --- Peggy



Working Group Members 5-12-06.doc

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

07/17/2006 12:34 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: final report

Can you send it over? As I recall, it includes bios, right? I'm assuming on the interviewees you think we should have very short biographical information? Also, Peg, I'm not sure if I'll still be at work at 7 or home. Is it ok if I email you late in the day as to where I am? My home phone (for only two more weeks!) is 212-362-5223. Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

Sent: Monday, July 17, 2006 11:26 AM

To: wang@tcf.org

Cc: 'Job Serebrov'; wang@tcf.org

Subject: RE: final report

Yes, I have the list of Working Group members. --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

07/17/2006 10:29 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED] wang@tcf.org

Subject RE: final report

appendices attached, except Peg I think you put together the list of the working group members? In any case, I can't find one at the moment, but it would be easy enough to put together. Perhaps even Devon or someone could do that, especially since I don't think I have any hours left, and probably shouldn't even be writing this email. I don't remember the conversation about adding to the list of interviewees, but we can talk about that later.

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

005325

Sent: Monday, July 17, 2006 9:13 AM
To: wang@tcf.org
Cc: 'Job Serebrov'; wang@tcf.org
Subject: Re: final report

Thanks. I probably won't be able to start getting into this until tomorrow AM. I noticed that the appendices weren't attached. I think we discussed earlier that the list of interviewees needed to have more information for the final report, and the list of books and documents should be presented in the same manner as a bibliography for the final report. We can talk more about this tonight during our teleconference at 7 PM EST. --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

07/17/2006 09:33 AM

To psims@eac.gov
CC "'Job Serebrov'" [REDACTED] wang@tcf.org
Subject final report

Hi Peg,

Attached please find **drafts** of the sections for the final report. Job, **please** double check I'm not missing anything or sent the wrong version of anything. I'm very concerned I may have. Is there a summary of the case review that I should have? Also, as we discussed, the attached does not include all of the individual summaries and charts which we already gave you for the working group and which have not changed. Peg, we'll want to see the complete set of the materials you plan to give to the commissioners, et.al., before you do so. If you could both let me know if all the formatting is OK, that would be great too. Thanks so much and look forward to talking to you at 7 EST.

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

005326

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:16 PM -----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

07/17/2006 10:36 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: final report

Speaking of which, does this look ok to you?

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

Sent: Monday, July 17, 2006 9:13 AM

To: wang@tcf.org

Cc: 'Job Serebrov'; wang@tcf.org

Subject: Re: final report

Thanks. I probably won't be able to start getting into this until tomorrow AM. I noticed that the appendices weren't attached. I think we discussed earlier that the list of interviewees needed to have more information for the final report, and the list of books and documents should be presented in the same manner as a bibliography for the final report. We can talk more about this tonight during our teleconference at 7 PM EST. --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

07/17/2006 09:33 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc "'Job Serebrov'" [REDACTED] wang@tcf.org

Subject final report

Hi Peg,

Attached please find **drafts** of the sections for the final report. Job, **please** double check I'm not missing anything or sent the wrong version of anything. I'm very concerned I may have. Is there a summary of the case review that I should have? Also, as we discussed, the attached does not include all of the individual summaries and charts which we already gave you for the working group and which have not changed. Peg, we'll want to see the complete set of the materials you

005327

plan to give to the commissioners, et.al., before you do so. If you could both let me know if all the formatting is OK, that would be great too. Thanks so much and look forward to talking to you at 7 EST.

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.



[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates. voucher 6-18 to 7-16.doc

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:16 PM -----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

07/17/2006 05:51 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject contacting Job

He asks that you call him on his cell, 501-626-0440

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:16 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/19/2006 11:23 AM

To Job Serebrov

cc

Subject Voucher

I received your faxed voucher this morning, signed it, and submitted it to Finance. --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:16 PM -----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

07/17/2006 05:36 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

005328



Subject I'll be in my office :(

212-452-7704

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.


----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:16 PM -----

Juliet E.
Thompson-Hodgkins/EAC/GO
V

07/17/2006 10:18 AM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Draft Report 

That's good.
Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100
Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/17/2006 10:15 AM

To jthompson@eac.gov

cc twilkey@eac.gov, Karen Lynn-Dyson/EAC/GOV@EAC

Subject Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Draft Report

Julie:

I received pieces of the draft final report on voting fraud-voter intimidation this morning. If it is OK with you, I'll hold it until all I have all of the pieces, so that you can review it as a whole document. --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:16 PM -----


005329

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/18/2006 03:46 PM

To "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>@GSAEXTERNAL

cc

Subject RE: final report 

I'm sorry I did not get back to you on this yesterday. I reviewed the voucher this morning and found that only two corrections are needed (coverage dates and # of days worked during the first two weeks). I've made the corrections in red on the attached copy of your voucher. --- Peggy



Wang voucher 6-18 to 7-15.doc

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

07/17/2006 10:36 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: final report

Speaking of which, does this look ok to you?

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

Sent: Monday, July 17, 2006 9:13 AM

To: wang@tcf.org

Cc: 'Job Serebrov'; wang@tcf.org

Subject: Re: final report

Thanks. I probably won't be able to start getting into this until tomorrow AM. I noticed that the appendices weren't attached. I think we discussed earlier that the list of interviewees needed to have more information for the final report, and the list of books and documents should be presented in the same manner as a bibliography for the final report. We can talk more about this tonight during our teleconference at 7 PM EST. --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

07/17/2006 09:33 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED], wang@tcf.org

Subject final report

005330

Hi Peg,

Attached please find **drafts** of the sections for the final report. Job, **please** double check I'm not missing anything or sent the wrong version of anything. I'm very concerned I may have. Is there a summary of the case review that I should have? Also, as we discussed, the attached does not include all of the individual summaries and charts which we already gave you for the working group and which have not changed. Peg, we'll want to see the complete set of the materials you plan to give to the commissioners, et.al., before you do so. If you could both let me know if all the formatting is OK, that would be great too. Thanks so much and look forward to talking to you at 7 EST.

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.



[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates. voucher 6-18 to 7-16.doc

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:16 PM -----

Karen Lynn-Dyson/EAC/GOV

07/28/2006 09:30 AM

To twilkey@eac.gov, Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC, Edgardo Cortes/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Fw: Invitation to attend Election Fraud Conference

All-

I assume that in light of our Voting Fraud and Voter Intimidation project, we will have an EAC presence there?

K
Karen Lynn-Dyson
Research Manager
U.S. Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Avenue, NW Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005

005331

tel:202-566-3123

----- Forwarded by Karen Lynn-Dyson/EAC/GOV on 07/28/2006 09:27 AM -----



"Melissa Slemin"

07/27/2006 07:25 PM

To [REDACTED]
maidenberg@knightfdn.org, "GPM" <GPM@carnegie.org>,
"Kristen Engberg" <KEngberg@JehtFoundation.org>,
"Michael Caudell-Feagan"
<MCaudell-Feagan@pewtrusts.org>, "Daniel Tokaji"
[REDACTED] "Charles Stewart III"
[REDACTED], klynndyson@eac.gov,
Cameron.Quinn@usdoj.gov, [REDACTED]

cc

Subject Invitation to attend Election Fraud Conference

Please find attached an invitation to attend the Election Fraud Conference co-sponsored by the Center for Public Policy and Administration at the University of Utah and the Caltech/MIT Voting Technology Project, September 29-30, 2006 in Salt Lake City, UT.

Regards,
Melissa Slemin

+++++
California Institute of Technology
Voting Technology Project
MC 228-77
1200 E California Blvd
Pasadena, CA 91125
phone: 626.395.4089
fax: 626.405.9841



<http://votingtechnologyproject.org> NonPres-memo.pdf

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:16 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"

07/25/2006 08:27 AM

To wang@tcf.org, psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Re: No teleconference today

There was no telephone conference scheduled yesterday.
If you all remember, due to my current job and grandchildren situation we were unable to arrange a teleconference.

--- wang@tcf.org wrote:

> Whats going on? Where are we at? Thanks. Tova
> ----- Original Message -----

005332

> From: <psims@eac.gov>
> To: "Tova Andrea Wang" <wang@tcf.org>; "Job
> Serebrov"
> <[REDACTED]>
> Sent: Monday, July 24, 2006 5:14 PM
> Subject: No teleconference today
>
>
> >
> >
> > -----
> > Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
> >
> >
>
>
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:16 PM -----



wang@tcf.org

07/29/2006 03:32 PM

To Undisclosed-Recipient::

cc

Subject moving to our DC office

Dear friends and colleagues,

As some of you know, I have decided to voluntarily give up many of my voting rights and become a resident of the District of Columbia. As I will be simply transferring to The Century Foundation's DC office, my email will remain the same (wang@tcf.org). My new work contact information as of August 8 is as follows:

The Century Foundation
1333 H Street, NW
10th Floor
Washington, DC 20005

(202) 741-6263

I look forward to speaking with you and seeing you soon.

Tova Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation

005333

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV
04/30/2007 06:33 PM

To eacon@eac.gov
cc
bcc
Subject Vote Fraud Study-Archived Email Part 3

The 3rd batch.
Peg Sims

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:25 PM -----



"Donsanto, Craig"
<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>
>
05/03/2006 12:53 PM

To psims@eac.gov
cc
Subject Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Okay -- you are on for May 18th! Can we do it over here at 10?

Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>
To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>
Sent: Wed May 03 12:40:19 2006
Subject: Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

My problem is that agency staff is booked most of the week of 5/21. Monday through Wednesday are taken up with meetings of the Standards Board Executive Committee, the full Standards Board, and the Board of Advisors. Thursday, we have EAC's public meeting. Also, I will lose one of my two consultants in June, so I'm trying to wrap up this project (and get the final report from the consultants) by the end of May.

Say "Hi" to Cameron for me.

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/03/2006 11:56 AM

To

psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject

Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

005334

Hi Peg. I am sitting here with Cameron Quinn putting together this year's ballot conference for AUSAs. She send her best!

I am available on 5/18. But I am also going to the Board of Advisors Meeting the following week. I would rather do this then.

Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>
To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>
Sent: Wed May 03 11:39:50 2006
Subject: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Craig:

We are continuing our efforts to hone in on a date for the Working Group meeting. Are you available the afternoon of Thursday, May 18?

Peggy Sims
Election Research Specialist
U.S. Election Assistance Commission

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:25 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/03/2006 04:59 PM

To "Donsanto, Craig"
<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>@GSAEXTERNAL
cc

Subject Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

I am looking at the afternoon of 5/18 for the meeting, due to scheduling conflicts of Working Group members. There remain two members from whom we have not yet received confirmations of their schedule (with some, it is like pulling teeth), but right now 5/18 still looks like the best day. We may have to hold the meeting over here to make it easier for Commissioners to drop in. --- Peg

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>



"Donsanto, Craig"
<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>
>

05/03/2006 12:53 PM

To psims@eac.gov
cc

Subject Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

005335

Okay -- you are on for May 18th! Can we do it over here at 10?

Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>
To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>
Sent: Wed May 03 12:40:19 2006
Subject: Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

My problem is that agency staff is booked most of the week of 5/21. Monday through Wednesday are taken up with meetings of the Standards Board Executive Committee, the full Standards Board, and the Board of Advisors. Thursday, we have FAC's public meeting. Also, I will lose one of my two consultants in June, so I'm trying to wrap up this project (and get the final report from the consultants) by the end of May.

Say "Hi" to Cameron for me.

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/03/2006 11:56 AM

To

psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject

Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Hi Peg. I am sitting here with Cameron Quinn putting together this year's ballt conference for AUSAs. Shesend her best!

I am available on 5/18. But I am also going to the Board of Advisors Meeting the following week. I would rather do this then.

Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>
To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>
Sent: Wed May 03 11:39:50 2006
Subject: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Craig:

005336

We are continuing our efforts to hone in on a date for the Working Group meeting. Are you available the afternoon of Thursday, May 18?

Peggy Sims
Election Research Specialist
U.S. Election Assistance Commission

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:25 PM. -----



wang@tcf.org

05/05/2006 06:06 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Re: Working Group

Tuesday at 4 is OK for me.

----- Original Message -----

From: psims@eac.gov

To: wang@tcf.org; [REDACTED]

Cc: dromig@eac.gov

Sent: Friday, May 05, 2006 2:32 PM

Subject: Working Group

Hi, Folks:

Teleconference

Are both of you available for a teleconference next Tuesday afternoon at about 4 PM EST? If this does not work for you, please suggest another date and/or time. I would like to discuss our preparations for the Working Group meeting.

Working Group Members

We have a very good person to fill the slot for the nonpartisan local election official: J.R. Perez, Elections Administrator for Guadalupe County, TX. Attached is his bio. Hope you have no objections to him. He is available on May 18. I have place 2 calls to Pat Rogers office, but have not yet received a reply. Job, if you have any pull with him, you may want to contact him, too.

Travel Arrangements

You should make your own travel arrangements, including hotel. Travel time cannot be billed to the contract, except for hours actually worked on the contract (i.e.; reviewing materials in preparation for the meeting, and the like). Current Federal rates follow:

Maximum Lodging = \$180 per day- does not include hotel taxes (if you cannot get this rate, we have covered reasonable rates that are a little higher)

Meals & Incidentals = \$64 per day (except that it is \$48 on the first and last day of travel)

005337

Mileage for Personally Owned Vehicle = \$.445 per mile

Under the new contract, I do not have to fill out a travel authorization for you. I can approve your trip via email. Afterwards, when you turn in your next pay voucher, you can attach the airline receipt (or mileage documentation), hotel receipt(s), and ground transportation receipts and a copy of any printed itineraries. Calculate the total travel expenses due you, including applicable per diem. I do not need meal receipts.

Job, under Federal travel regulations, deviations for personal reasons are not normally accommodated. What you can do, however, is to give me a comparison of the cost of roundtrip mileage, hotel, and per diem of doing it your way against the cost of a roundtrip flight, ground transportation, hotel, and per diem. If your way costs less, it should be no problem to cover the full cost. If your way is more expensive, we may only pay up to the amount of traditional travel. (The same rules apply to me when I travel.) If you can tell me where, other than DC, you will spend the night, I can check on applicable per diem rates.

Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:25 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/04/2006 03:13 PM

To "Donsanto, Craig"

<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>@GSAEXTERNAL

cc

Subject RE: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Craig:

This meeting is being held to obtain input from our eight-member Working Group for the project. The group is composed of election lawyers, election officials, and a representative of an advocacy group, all of whom have an interest and some expertise in the identification and/or prosecution of voting fraud and voter intimidation. The group was chosen so that we would have an equal number of folks on each side of the political spectrum, plus some nonpartisan members.

After our consultants review the results of their preliminary research (interviews, literature review, case law), we will ask the Working Group to brainstorm possible next steps for EAC. Our consultants will write a report summarizing the proposals that come out of this meeting. The report will go to the Commissioners, who will decide what they want to do, funds available, and what priority to assigned to the effort(s).

Your participation in this part of the process is extremely important, so I am very happy that you can find time for us that afternoon. I'll get an agenda and other information to you next week. --- Peggy

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>



"Donsanto, Craig"
<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>
>

05/04/2006 02:32 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

005338

Okay, Peg -- I will mark off the entire afternoon and try to be there. What is the agenda? I was not aware that this was anything beyond having your contractors spend another session with me. Also, if they will be needing stats and stuff like that I need to know as I will bring my state-people with me.

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]
Sent: Thursday, May 04, 2006 2:28 PM
To: Donsanto, Craig
Subject: Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Right now, we are planning to meet in EAC's large conference room between 1 PM and 5 PM. If you cannot be there for the whole afternoon, we will appreciate whatever time you can spare. I'll get back to you with more information (agenda, list of Working Group members, etc.). --- Peggy

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/03/2006 05:59 PM

Topsims@eac.gov
cc
SubjectRe: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Afternoon of May 18 -- 2:30 okay? How long will they need??

Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>
To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>
Sent: Wed May 03 16:59:09 2006
Subject: Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

I am looking at the afternoon of 5/18 for the meeting, due to scheduling conflicts of Working Group members. There remain two members from whom we have not yet received confirmations of their schedule (with some, it is like pulling teeth), but right now 5/18 still looks like the best day. We may have to hold the meeting over here to make it easier for Commissioners to drop in.
--- Peg

005339

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/03/2006 12:53 PM

To

psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject

Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Okay -- you are on for May 18th. Can we do it over here at 10?

Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>

To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>

Sent: Wed May 03 12:40:19 2006

Subject: Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

My problem is that agency staff is booked most of the week of 5/21. Monday through Wednesday are taken up with meetings of the Standards Board Executive Committee, the full Standards Board, and the Board of Advisors. Thursday, we have EAC's public meeting. Also, I will lose one of my two consultants in June, so I'm trying to wrap up this project (and get the final report from the consultants) by the end of May.

Say "Hi" to Cameron for me.

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/03/2006 11:56 AM

To

psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject

Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Hi Peg. I am sitting here with Cameron Quinn putting together this year's ballt conference for AUSAs. She send her best!

005340

I am available on 5/18. But I am also going to the Board of Advisors Meeting the following week. I would rather do this then.

Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>
To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>
Sent: Wed May 03 11:39:50 2006
Subject: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Craig:

We are continuing our efforts to hone in on a date for the Working Group meeting. Are you available the afternoon of Thursday, May 18?

Peggy Sims
Election Research Specialist
U.S. Election Assistance Commission

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:25 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/09/2006 11:33 AM

To "Job Serebrov"

cc wang@tcf.org

Subject Re: Working Group-Perez

Did you look at the attached excerpts from Texas Code? --- Peggy

"Job Serebrov"



"Job Serebrov"

05/09/2006 11:23 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc wang@tcf.org

Subject Re: Working Group-Perez

We have the same set-up here in Arkansas. We hired a person just like Perez. However, given this, I would still like to know if he has a party affiliation and this brings up another issue. How is the county election commission chosen. In Arkansas it is the

005341

Chairmen of the Republican and Democrat Parties or if he/she does not want to serve a person is elected in his/her stead and a third member picked by the party with the most constitutional officers. Practically that has meant that the Democrats have controlled election commissions in Arkansas since the end of Reconstruction. This is why I want to know the situation in Texas.

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> As you may recall, the Commissioners directed me to
> find a nonpartisan
> local election official to serve on the Working
> Group. The three of us
> discussed the desirability of having a Hispanic. I
> proposed that I find
> someone from Texas because of that State's colorful
> history of voting
> fraud and their innovative approaches to combat it.
> In those Texas
> counties that hire Election Administrators to run
> elections, rather than
> having elected officials do so (Tax Assessor for
> voter registration;
> County Clerk for balloting), the Election
> Administrator is hired by the
> County Election Commission and is supposed to
> perform his or her duties in
> a nonpartisan manner. (See attached excerpts from
> Texas Election Code
> regarding election administrator hiring and
> restrictions on partisan
> activity.)
> Any experienced Texas election official will be
> familiar with voting fraud
> and voter intimidation schemes used in that State.
> Mr. Perez has over 13
> years experience as a county Election Administrator
> in Texas. You won't
> find many news articles mentioning him because he
> has kept his nose clean.
> (The Texas press, as in many other parts of the
> country, prefers to
> report bad news.) Mr. Perez is plugged into the
> association of Texas
> election officials and the two largest organizations
> of election officials
> in this country: the International Association of
> Clerks, Recorders,
> Election Officials and Treasurers (IACREOT); and The
> Election Center. He
> is a past President and past Chairman of the
> Legislative Committee for the
> Texas Association of Election Administrators. He
> currently serves on
> IACREOT's Election Officials Committee, which plans
> the educational
> sessions for election officials that are conducted

005342

> at that organization's
> conferences. His peers in IACREOT and The Election
> Center have selected
> his submissions on web presentations (IACREOT) and
> his professional
> practices papers (Election Center) for awards. Mr.
> Perez also has access
> to information from other States through his
> membership in IACREOT and The
> Election Center. He also has a sense of humor,
> which you will note if you
> access the staff web page on the Guadalupe County
> Elections web site and
> hear the Mission Impossible theme .. something that
> might be useful in the
> upcoming meeting.
>
> Guadalupe County is small but growing. In 2004, the
> county had over 65
> thousand registered voters (a number more than
> doubled the number of
> registered voters in 1988). A third of the county's
> population claims
> Hispanic or Latino origin, according to the U.S.
> Census Bureau. The county
> is in south central Texas and is bordered by Comal,
> Hays, Cladwell,
> Gonzales, Wilson, and Bexar counties. In the 1980s,
> the county was
> predominately a farming community; but in recent
> years, many people have
> moved from San Antonio (Bexar County) to Guadalupe
> County, preferring to
> live in Guadalupe County and work in Bexar County.
>
> --- Peggy
>
>
>
>
>
>
> "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]
> 05/08/2006 11:30 PM
>
> To
> psims@eac.gov
> cc
>
> Subject
> Re: Working Group
>
>
>
>
> Peggy:
>
> What political party is Perez with? How political is
> he? Is the position in Texas neutral or political?

005343

> Who
> appointed Perez?
>
> As to Pat I will contact him but I can't promise
> anything. If Pat can't come, who is getting knocked
> off Tova's list?
>
> Job
>
>
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:25 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/05/2006 05:34 PM

To Diana Scott

cc Karen Lynn-Dyson/EAC/GOV@EAC, dromig@eac.gov

Subject Working Group Travel

Diana:

The following members of the Working Group for our Voting Fraud/Voter Intimidation research project will need to make travel arrangements in order to attend an afternoon meeting of the group on May 18 in Washington, DC:

Mark "Thor" Hearne - St Louis, MO

J.R. Perez - Seguin, TX

The Honorable Todd Rokita - Indianapolis, IN

Kathy Rogers - Atlanta, GA

I may have one additional member from Albuquerque, NM confirmed early next week.

May these people use Adventure Travel to make these arrangements in the same manner as the Asian Language Working Group? I understand the members of that group made hotel and flight arrangements through Adventure Travel and that these costs were billed directly to EAC. We did plan for EAC to pay for the travel of the Voting Fraud/Voter Intimidation Working Group (budgeted under Research). Devon will prepare their travel authorizations.

Peggy Sims

Election Research Specialist

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:25 PM -----



"Tova Wang"

<wang@tcf.org>

05/11/2006 10:12 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: Today's Teleconference

This seems OK, I guess its a less detailed version of what I sent you. I hope you will advise us as to what

005344

we are supposed to talk about/go over since we have provided the group with everything we've done ahead of time. I also hope that you will have an answer for me on Wade. It utterly essential that we have a leader from the civil rights community at the table.

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

Sent: Thursday, May 11, 2006 9:07 AM

To: wang@tcf.org; [REDACTED]

Subject: Today's Teleconference

I assume that we are still on for today's teleconference at 11 AM EST. I will call you. I have attached a draft agenda for your review and comment. --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:25 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"

[REDACTED]
05/11/2006 03:36 PM

To: "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>, psims@eac.gov

cc: [REDACTED]

Subject: Re: new working group representative

I have an objection to Greenbaum. While I realize he comes from an advocacy group, he is not a minority attorney and we already have a rep who worked with DOJ. If it is to be Greenbaum, I would rather not fill that position since I am one down.

--- Tova Wang <wang@tcf.org> wrote:

> is Jon Greenbaum

>

> Here's his info in full:

>

> <http://www.lawyerscommittee.org/2005website/aboutus/staff/staffgreenbaum.htm>

> 1

>

> He is the Director of the Voting Rights Project for

> the Lawyers Committee

> for Civil Rights. He will be representing Barbara

> Arnwine, the Executive

> Director of the Lawyers Committee.

>

> His contact and mailing info is:

>

> jgreenbaum@lawyerscommittee.org

> 202-662-8315

> 1401 New York Avenue, NW

> Suite 400

> Washington, DC 20005

>

>

>

> Tova Andrea Wang

> Democracy Fellow

> The Century Foundation

005345

> 41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
> phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534
>
> Visit our Web site, <<http://www.tcf.org/>>
> www.tcf.org, for the latest news,
> analysis, opinions, and events.
>
>
> _____
> <<mailto:join-tcfmain@mailhost.groundspring.org>>
> Click here to receive our
> weekly e-mail updates.
>
>
>

-----Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:25 PM-----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>
05/11/2006 02:12 PM

To psims@eac.gov [REDACTED]
cc

Subject RE: Literature Summary

It might be an Apple issue

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [<mailto:psims@eac.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, May 11, 2006 1:09 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: wang@tcf.org
Subject: Literature Summary

Tova just sent me the summary you prepared of The Federal Crime of Election Fraud by Craig Donsanto. There is something wrong in the fourth paragraph (odd characters and missing text). Can you please send a replacement fourth paragraph? You can send it in an email and I will place it in the document. --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:25 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"
[REDACTED]
05/04/2006 12:04 PM

To psims@eac.gov
cc

Subject Re: Good News

Peggy:

Rogers contact information is below on my last message. My uncle is having a complicated procedure where they are both cementing his spine to shore it up and testing for a malignant tumor---which they now

005346

suspect as the cause of the sudden bone problems. If it is a tumor, the working group session could get complicated.

Job

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> Job:

>

> Hope your uncle's surgery goes well.

>

> I have the Chairman's OK to follow your
> recommendation and replace
> Norcross with Rogers. Do you have contact
> information for Rogers? ---

> Peggy

>

>

>

>

> "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

> 05/04/2006 11:17 AM

>

> To

> psims@eac.gov

> cc

>

> Subject

> Re: Good News

>

>

>

>

>

>

> I will have a better idea about my uncle's condition
> today after surgery.

>

> See:

> http://www.modrall.com/attorneys/attorney_23.html.

> 500 Fourth Street NW

> P.O. Box 2168

> Albuquerque, NM 87103-2168

> (505) 848-1800

> Fax: (505) 848-1891

> Asst: Carol Casstevens

> patrogers@modrall.com

>

> --- psims@eac.gov wrote:

>

> > Job:

> > Secretary Rokita is available May 18. I'm going

> > to

> > talk with the Chairman

> > today about substituting Rogers for Norcross. Do

> > you have contact

> > information for Rogers? --- Peggy

>

>

005347

>
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:25 PM -----



"Donsanto, Craig"
<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>
>

To psims@eac.gov

cc

05/05/2006 12:09 PM

Subject RE: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Okay, Peg - - thank you. I will be there.

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

Sent: Friday, May 05, 2006 9:16 AM

To: Donsanto, Craig

Subject: Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

The non-election officials on the Working Group currently include:

- Barry Weinberg, whom you know
- Barbara Arnwine, Lawyers Committee for Civil Rights Under Law (organization associated with the Voting Rights Project and Election Protection)
- Bob Bauer, Perkins Coie, DC (Democrat attorney)
- Mark "Thor" Hearne, Lathrop & Gage, St Louis, MO (Republican attorney)

I am trying to recruit one other Republican attorney, Patrick Rogers, Modrall, Sperling, Roehl, Harris and Sisk, NM, who was recommended by our Republican consultant. He would replace an original member who is no longer available.

I know that Barbara has associated at conferences and in legislative efforts with Wade Henderson, Leadership Conference on Civil Rights. Also, the Lawyers Committee for Civil Rights is listed as on of many members of the Executive Committee for the Leadership Conference on Civil Rights (see http://www.civilrights.org/about/lccr/executive_committee.html).

Does this information help? --- Peggy

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/04/2006 06:08 PM

Topsims@eac.gov

cc

SubjectRe: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

005348

Peggy -- they don't have anything to do with the Leadership Conference on Civil Rights do they?

I ask only because the Justice Department is currently engaged in a very acrimonious FOIA litigation with LCCR that focuses precisely on our efforts to combat voter "intimidation"

Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>
To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>
Sent: Thu May 04 17:20:39 2006
Subject: RE: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

It is just the Working Group for the Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Project. I am asking you to attend as Technical Advisor for the project. --- Peggy

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/04/2006 03:26 PM

To

psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject

RE: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Peg - - what is the name of the group?

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]
Sent: Thursday, May 04, 2006 3:13 PM
To: Donsanto, Craig
Subject: RE: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

005349

Craig:

This meeting is being held to obtain input from our eight-member Working Group for the project. The group is composed of election lawyers, election officials, and a representative of an advocacy group, all of whom have an interest and some expertise in the identification and/or prosecution of voting fraud and voter intimidation. The group was chosen so that we would have an equal number of folks on each side of the political spectrum, plus some nonpartisan members.

After our consultants review the results of their preliminary research (interviews, literature review, case law), we will ask the Working Group to brainstorm possible next steps for EAC. Our consultants will write a report summarizing the proposals that come out of this meeting. The report will go to the Commissioners, who will decide what they want to do, funds available, and what priority to assigned to the effort(s).

Your participation in this part of the process is extremely important, so I am very happy that you can find time for us that afternoon. I'll get an agenda and other information to you next week. --- Peggy

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/04/2006 02:32 PM

To

psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject

RE: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Okay, Peg - - I will mark off the entire afternoon and try to be there. What is the agenda? I was not aware that this was anything beyond having your contractors spend another session with me. Also, if they will be needing stats and stuff like that I need to know as I will bring my state-people with me.

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]
Sent: Thursday, May 04, 2006 2:28 PM
To: Donsanto, Craig

005350

Subject: Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Right now, we are planning to meet in EAC's large conference room between 1 PM and 5 PM. If you cannot be there for the whole afternoon, we will appreciate whatever time you can spare. I'll get back to you with more information (agenda, list of Working Group members, etc.). --- Peggy

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/03/2006 05:59 PM

To

psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject

Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Afternoon of May 18 -- 2:30 okay? How long will they need??

Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>

To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>

Sent: Wed May 03 16:59:09 2006

Subject: Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

I am looking at the afternoon of 5/18 for the meeting, due to scheduling conflicts of Working Group members. There remain two members from whom we have not yet received confirmations of their schedule (with some, it is like pulling teeth), but right now 5/18 still looks like the best day. We may have to hold the meeting over here to make it easier for Commissioners to drop in.
--- Peg

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/03/2006 12:53 PM

005351

To
cc
Subject
psims@eac.gov
Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Okay -- you are on for May 18th! Can we do it over here at 10?

Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>
To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>
Sent: Wed May 03 12:40:19 2006
Subject: Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

My problem is that agency staff is booked most of the week of 5/21. Monday through Wednesday are taken up with meetings of the Standards Board Executive Committee, the full Standards Board, and the Board of Advisors. Thursday, we have EAC's public meeting. Also, I will lose one of my two consultants in June, so I'm trying to wrap up this project (and get the final report from the consultants) by the end of May.

Say "Hi" to Cameron for me.

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/03/2006 11:56 AM

To
cc
psims@eac.gov

Subject
Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Hi Peg. I am sitting here with Cameron Quinn putting together this year's ballt conference for AUSAs. She send her best!

I am available on 5/18. But I am also going to the Board of Advisors Meeting the following week. I would rather do this then.

Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

005352

-----Original Message-----


From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>
To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>
Sent: Wed May 03 11:39:50 2006
Subject: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Craig:

We are continuing our efforts to hone in on a date for the Working Group meeting. Are you available the afternoon of Thursday, May 18?

Peggy Sims
Election Research Specialist
U.S. Election Assistance Commission

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:25 PM -----



Devon E. Romig/EAC/GOV

05/09/2006 11:34 AM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: May 18 Meeting

No, but I have left a message for her assistant and I am waiting for her to return my call. I will let you know as soon as I hear anything.

Devon Romig
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave. NW, Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005
202.566.2377 phone
202.566.3128 fax
www.eac.gov
Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/09/2006 11:19 AM

To dromig@eac.gov

cc

Subject May 18 Meeting

005353

Did Barbara Arnwine's office indicate who they propose to send in her place? --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:25 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"

05/08/2006 11:30 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Re: Working Group

Peggy:

What political party is Perez with? How political is he? Is the position in Texas neutral or political? Who appointed Perez?

As to Pat I will contact him but I can't promise anything. If Pat can't come, who is getting knocked off Tova's list?

Job

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:25 PM -----

Diana Scott/EAC/GOV

05/08/2006 10:22 AM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc dromig@eac.gov, Karen Lynn-Dyson/EAC/GOV@EAC

Subject Re: Working Group Travel

Peggy,

I will send these names to Adventure Travel (AT) authorizing AT to place the airfare and hotel charges on our credit card. That is all I do on my end. BUT Devon has to follow up to make all the arrangements with Marvin Brokaw at AT and whatever else is required as far as support servs. for the meeting is concerned.

I assume this is a separate meeting from the 2 Karen & Brian are having?

Diana M. Scott
Administrative Officer
U.S. Election Assistance Commission
(202) 566-3100 (office)
(202) 566-3127 (fax)
dscott@eac.gov

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

005354

05/05/2006 05:34 PM

To DScott@eac.gov
cc Karen Lynn-Dyson/EAC/GOV@EAC, dromig@eac.gov
Subject Working Group Travel

Diana:

The following members of the Working Group for our Voting Fraud/Voter Intimidation research project will need to make travel arrangements in order to attend an afternoon meeting of the group on May 18 in Washington, DC:

Mark "Thor" Hearne - St Louis, MO
J.R. Perez - Seguin, TX
The Honorable Todd Rokita - Indianapolis, IN
Kathy Rogers - Atlanta, GA

I may have one additional member from Albuquerque, NM confirmed early next week.

May these people use Adventure Travel to make these arrangements in the same manner as the Asian Language Working Group? I understand the members of that group made hotel and flight arrangements through Adventure Travel and that these costs were billed directly to EAC. We did plan for EAC to pay for the travel of the Voting Fraud/Voter Intimidation Working Group (budgeted under Research). Devon will prepare their travel authorizations.

Peggy Sims
Election Research Specialist

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:24 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"

05/08/2006 09:58 PM

To psims@eac.gov
cc

Subject Re: Working Group-Travel Costs

Peggy:

Please tell the folks there that I am not worried about a perceived breach of contract. This is a completely ridiculous statement considering the contractual requirement that the consultants convene the Working Group and not the Commission and it never specifies where or when this is to take place. All this to say that while the contract does specify a Working Group meeting it does not specify that it must

005355

take place on any particular date or in a particular city. With that said, I have never heard of any federal travel requirements that would result in a loss of money because I decided to drive and not fly. In fact, that is why there is an amount paid per mile. So I would like to see the federal regulation that forces me to take the least expensive transport and restricts all other ground transport costs to that figure.

As to hotels, based on Tova's research there are no rooms for under the \$350 range per night. If you can find hotels that are less expensive but still carry the kind of bed I need for my back (either pillow top or a number bed) please do.

The issue of my uncle---today I have not had an update on his condition. But, as I previously stated, if he were to die or have an event while I was in DC, I would have to go to NYC meeting or no meeting.

Finally, neither Tova nor I have been satisfied about Mr. Perez and I have not been told whether Pat Rogers will be coming or one of Tova's people will not be.

In the end, I need to see the travel regulation that I requested above, I would like you to look into hotels for Tova and me that have the kind of bed I need and I would like to know about Perez and Rogers. In the meantime, I should have an update on my uncle by morning. I would also be happy to talk to Julie about the issues involved. I will take you up on your offer to process my travel expenses faster and I do not and never did expect you to get me a travel advance. I worked in international development and know what a headache those are to apply for on the state level.

Job

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> Job:
>
> Folks here are concerned that your failure to show
> up in person to help
> conduct the meeting would be a breach of contract.
> I also am concerned
> about the impression that your absence will leave
> with the Commissioners
> and with the VIPs coming to this meeting.
>
> If you are concerned about delays in reimbursement
> caused by including the
> travel expenses in the personal services voucher, I
> can always process
> your request (with receipts) separately and earlier.
> I can have staff
> here check to see if we can find hotel rooms at a
> more reasonable rate for
> you and Tova. (We recognize that you may not be

005356

> able to obtain Federal
> government rate.) What I cannot do is offer a
> travel advance, which is
> not permitted for nonfederal employees, or offer to
> pay the difference
> between normal travel expenses and those incurred
> for personal
> convenience, when the latter is the higher amount.
>
> I urge you to make your travel arrangements ASAP.

>
> Peggy Sims
> Election Research Specialist
> U.S. Election Assistance Commission
> 1225 New York Ave, NW - Ste 1100
> Washington, DC 20005
> Phone: 866-747-1471 (toll free) or 202-566-3120
> (direct)
> Fax: 202-566-3127
> email: psims@eac.gov
>
>
>
>
>

> "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]
> 05/08/2006 01:41 PM

>
> To
> psims@eac.gov
> cc
>
> Subject
> Re: Working Group
>
>
>
>
>

> Given the information I have Peggy, that is not
> going
> to be financially possible. First, given Tova's info
> about the hotels, it is too much for me to front.
> Two
> to three days in DC would run around \$1000 for the
> hotel alone. That does not count the two days on the
> road to get there and two days back. Second, if I
> can't charge the federal per mile allowance for the
> entire trip to DC and back and can only get the
> equivalent of plane fare, I will actually lose
> money.
>
>

> I simply do not see how we can do this in person
> given
> the financial restrictions.
>
>
>
>

> --- psims@eac.gov wrote:

005357

>
> > Job:
> >
> > I don't think we can put you on teleconference for
> > 4 1/2 hours. We really
> > need to have you here in person if you are to help
> > conduct the Working
> > Group meeting. You should make your travel
> > arrangements ASAP. --- Peggy
> >
> >
> >
> > "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]
> > 05/08/2006 10:14 AM
> >
> > To
> > psims@eac.gov, wang@tcf.org
> > cc
> >
> > Subject
> > Re: Working Group
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Peggy:
> >
> > 4:00 eastern on Tuesday is fine however, given the
> > financial restrictions that you indicated would be
> > in
> > place for use of my car (I would actually loose
> > money
> > coming to DC) and given the cost of hotels at this
> > time (I can't afford to front these costs and wait
> > for
> > months to be repaid), etc, it would take a miracle
> > for
> > this working group meeting to take place in
> > person.
> > It
> > is looking like the only way it will get done is
> > by
> > teleconference. I also share Tova's concern about
> > the
> > unknown nature of Mr. Perez.
> >
> > Job
> >
> >
> > --- psims@eac.gov wrote:
> >
> > > Hi, Folks:
> > >
> > > Teleconference
> > > Are both of you available for a teleconference
> > > next
> > > Tuesday afternoon at

005358

> > > about 4 PM EST? If this does not work for you,
 > > > please suggest another
 > > > date and/or time. I would like to discuss our
 > > > preparations for the
 > > > Working Group meeting.
 > > >
 > > > Working Group Members
 > > > We have a very good person to fill the slot for
 > > > the
 > > > nonpartisan local
 > > > election official: J.R. Perez, Elections
 > > > Administrator for Guadalupe
 > > > County, TX. Attached is his bio. Hope you have
 > > > no
 > > > objections to him. He
 > > > is available on May 18. I have place 2 calls to
 > > > Pat
 > > > Rogers office, but
 > > > have not yet received a reply. Job, if you have
 > > > any
 > > > pull with him, you
 > > > may want to contact him, too.
 > > >
 > > > Travel Arrangements
 > > > You should make your own travel arrangements,
 > > > including hotel. Travel
 > > > time cannot be billed to the contract, except
 > > > for
 > > > hours actually worked on
 > > > the contract (i.e.; reviewing materials in
 > > > preparation for the meeting,
 > > > and the like). Current Federal rates follow:
 > > >
 > > > Maximum Lodging = \$180 per day- does not include
 > > > hotel taxes (if you
 > > > cannot get this rate, we have covered reasonable
 > > > rates that are a little
 > > > higher)
 > > > Meals & Incidentals = \$64 per day (except that
 > > > it
 > > > is
 > > > \$48 on the first and
 > > > last day of travel)
 > > > Mileage for Personally Owned Vehicle = \$.445
 > > > per
 > > > mile
 > > >
 > > > Under the new contract, I do not have to fill
 > > > out
 > > > a
 > > > travel authorization
 > > > for you. I can approve your trip via email.
 > > > Afterwards, when you turn in
 > > > your next pay voucher, you can attach the
 > > > airline
 > > > receipt (or mileage
 > > > documentation), hotel receipt(s), and ground
 > > > transportation receipts and a
 > > > copy of any printed itineraries. Calculate the
 > > > total travel expenses due
 >

005359

=== message truncated ===

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:24 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"

05/05/2006 10:17 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject WG Meeting

Peggy:

At this point and unless my uncle dies before May 18, the only way I will go to DC is to drive my car. I will need it in case my uncle dies while I am there. You will need to get approval for the use of my car and the two days it will take me to get there and two days back.

Job

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:24 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"

05/11/2006 04:50 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Re: new working group representative

The Commissioners made this an equal bi-partisan issue. I am seen as representing the Republican Party. I now have a responsibility to assure that this ends up bi-partisan. I have been placed in a position of dual obligations---both to the contract and to the Party. I in fact see myself as carrying out what the Commission wanted to the letter---equal bi-partisan representation.

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> Your response suggests that you do not care what the
> Commissioners may
> think about the effort. --- Peggy
>
>
>
> "Job Serebrov"
> 05/11/2006 04:35 PM
>
> To
> psims@eac.gov

005360

> cc
>
> Subject
> Re: new working group representative
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Peggy:
>
> Braden is ok also with me but please don't tell me
> not
> to "stir up" things. I assure you nothing will come
> back to bite me. I know these people well enough to
> say they will also want a balanced group. In fact,
> one
> of them was very unhappy with Tova's folks.
>
> Job
>
> --- psims@eac.gov wrote:
>
> > According to the Commissioners, you and Tova each
> > got to pick three
> > members of the Working Group. The Commission
> > guidance regarding this
> > particular member follows:
> >
> > 4 people from the Academic, Legal and Advocacy
> > sectors - 2 to be chosen by
> > Tova and 2 to be chosen by Job.
> >
> > This issue of allowing a designee relates to
> Tova's
> > pick.
> >
> > As I understand it, we are working on a
> replacement
> > for Norcross. If
> > Ginsberg is not viable, how about Mark Braden, who
> > includes public
> > integrity in his areas of specialization. I would
> > not try and stir up
> > other members of the Working Group, if I were you.
>
> > The effort is likely
> > to come back and bite you.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]
> > 05/11/2006 03:53 PM
> >
> > To
> > psims@eac.gov
> > cc
> >

005361